Economics 204

Lecture 6–Monday, August 3, 2009

Section 2.8, Compactness

Definition 1 A collection of sets

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

in a metric space (X, d) is an *open cover* of A if U_{λ} is open for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and

$$\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda} \supseteq A$$

(Λ may be finite, countably infinite, or uncountable.)

A set A in a metric space is *compact* if every open cover of A contains a finite subcover of A. In other words, if $\{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is an open cover of A, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$ such that

$$A \subseteq U_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\lambda_n}$$

It is important to understand what this definition does not say. In particular, it does not say "A has a finite open cover;" note that every set is contained in X, and X is open, so every set has a cover consisting of exactly one open set. Like the ε - δ definition of continuity, in which you are given an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and are challenged to specify an appropriate δ , here you are given an arbitrary open cover and challenged to specify a finite subcover of the given open cover.

Example: (0, 1] is not compact in E^1 . To see this, let

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ U_m = \left(\frac{1}{m}, 2\right) : m \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} U_m = (0, 2) \supset (0, 1]$$



Given any finite subset $\{U_{m_1}, \ldots, U_{m_n}\}$ of \mathcal{U} , let

$$m = \max\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{m_i} = U_m = \left(\frac{1}{m}, 2\right) \not\supseteq (0, 1)$$

so (0, 1] is not compact.

Note that this argument does not work for [0, 1]. Given an open cover $\{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, there must be some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $0 \in U_{\lambda}$, and therefore $U_{\lambda} \supseteq [0, \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and a finite number of the U_m 's we used to cover (0, 1] would cover the interval $(\varepsilon, 1]$. This is not a proof that [0, 1] is compact, since we need to show that *every* open cover has a finite subcover, but it is suggestive, and we will soon see that [0, 1] is indeed compact.

Example: $[0,\infty)$ is closed but not compact. To see that $[0,\infty)$ is not compact, let

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_m = (-1, m) : m \in \mathbf{N}\}$$

Given any finite subset

$$\{U_{m_1},\ldots,U_{m_n}\}$$

of \mathcal{U} , let

$$m = \max\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$$

Then

$$U_{m_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{m_n} = (-1, m) \not\supseteq [0, \infty)$$

Theorem 2 (8.14) Every closed subset A of a compact metric space (X, d) is compact.

Proof: If you can get past the abstraction (admittedly, a serious hurdle), this is easy. Let $\{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be an open cover of A.



In order to use the compactness of X, we need to produce an open cover of X. There are two ways to do this:

$$U'_{\lambda} = U_{\lambda} \cup (X \setminus A)$$
$$\Lambda' = \Lambda \cup \{\lambda_0\}, \ U_{\lambda_0} = X \setminus A$$

We choose the first path, and let

$$U'_{\lambda} = U_{\lambda} \cup (X \setminus A)$$

Since A is closed, $X \setminus A$ is open; since U_{λ} is open, so is U'_{λ} .

$$\begin{aligned} x \in X \implies & x \in A \qquad \lor \quad x \in X \setminus A \\ \implies & (\exists_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \ x \in U_{\lambda} \subseteq U_{\lambda}') \quad \lor \quad (\forall_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \ x \in U_{\lambda}') \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U'_{\lambda}$, so $\{U'_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is an open cover of X.

Since X is compact,

$$\exists_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n\in\Lambda} X\subseteq U'_{\lambda_1}\cup\dots\cup U'_{\lambda_n}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$a \in A \implies a \in X$$
$$\implies a \in U'_{\lambda_i} \text{ for some } i$$
$$\implies a \in U_{\lambda_i} \cup (X \setminus A)$$
$$\implies a \in U_{\lambda_i}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$A \subseteq U_{\lambda_1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{\lambda_n}$$

so A is compact. \blacksquare

Theorem 3 (8.15) If A is a compact subset of the metric space (X, d), then A is closed.

Proof: Suppose A is not closed. Then $X \setminus A$ is not open, so we can find a point $x \in X \setminus A$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $A \cap B_{\varepsilon}(x) \neq \emptyset$, and hence $A \cap B_{\varepsilon}[x] \neq \emptyset$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$U_n = X \setminus B_{1/n}[x]$$

Each U_n is open, and

$$\bigcup_{n\in\mathbf{N}}U_n=X\setminus\{x\}\supseteq A$$

since $x \notin A$. Therefore, $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an open cover for A. Since A is compact, there is a finite subcover $\{U_{n_1}, \ldots, U_{n_k}\}$. Let $n = \max\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$. Then

$$U_n = X \setminus B_{1/n}[x]$$

$$\supseteq X \setminus B_{1/n_j}[x] \ (j = 1, \dots, k)$$

$$U_n \supseteq \cup_{j=1}^k U_{n_j}$$

$$\supseteq A$$

But $A \cap B_{1/n}[x] \neq \emptyset$, so $A \not\subseteq X \setminus B_{1/n}[x] = U_n$, a contradiction which proves that A is closed.

Definition 4 A set A in a metric space (X, d) is *sequentially compact* if every sequence of elements of A contains a convergent subsequence whose limit lies in A.

Theorem 5 (8.5,8.11) A set A in a metric space (X, d) is compact if and only if it is sequentially compact.

Proof: Suppose A is compact. We will show that A is sequentially compact. If not, we can find a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of elements of A such that no subsequence converges to any element of A. Recall that a is a cluster point of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ means that

$$\forall_{\varepsilon>0} \{n : x_n \in B_{\varepsilon}(x)\}$$
 is infinite



and this is equivalent to the statement that there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converging to a. Thus, no element of a can be a cluster point for $\{x_n\}$, and hence

$$\forall_{a \in A} \exists_{\varepsilon_a > 0} \{ n : x_n \in B_{\varepsilon_a}(a) \} \text{ is finite}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

Then

$$\{B_{\varepsilon_a}(a): a \in A\}$$

is an open cover of A (if A is uncountable, it will be an uncountable open cover). Since A is compact, there is a finite subcover

$$\left\{B_{\varepsilon_{a_1}}(a_1),\ldots,B_{\varepsilon_{a_m}}(a_m)\right\}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{N} = \{n : x_n \in A\}$$

$$\subseteq \{n : x_n \in (B_{\varepsilon_{a_1}}(a_1) \cup \dots \cup B_{\varepsilon_{a_m}}(a_m))\}$$

$$= \{n : x_n \in B_{\varepsilon_{a_1}}(a_1)\} \cup \dots \cup \{n : x_n \in B_{\varepsilon_{a_m}}(a_m)\}$$

so N is contained in a finite union of sets, each of which is finite by Equation (1). Thus, N must be finite, a contradiction which proves that A is sequentially compact.

For the converse, see de la Fuente.■

Definition 6 A set A in a metric space (X, d) is *totally bounded* if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\exists_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in A} \ A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\varepsilon}(x_i)$$

(This is the standard definition; de la Fuente's definition is equivalent to this. See the comments in the *Corrections* handout.)

Example: Take A = [0, 1] with the Euclidean metric. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $n > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Then we may take

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{n}, x_2 = \frac{2}{n}, \dots, x_{n-1} = \frac{n-1}{n}$$

Example: Consider X = [0, 1] with the discrete metric

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

X is not totally bounded. To see this, take $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$. Then for any x, $B_{\varepsilon}(x) = \{x\}$, so given any finite set x_1, \ldots, x_n ,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_{\varepsilon}(x_i) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \not\supseteq [0, 1]$$

However, X is bounded because $X = B_2(0)$.

Remark 7 Fix ε and consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon} = \{B_{\varepsilon}(a) : a \in A\}$$

If A is compact, then every open cover of A has a finite subcover; in particular, $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}$ must have a finite subcover, but this just says that A is totally bounded.

Theorem 8 (8.16) Let A be a subset of a metric space (X, d). Then A is compact if and only if it is complete and totally bounded.

Proof: See de la Fuente.■

Corollary 9 Let A be a subset of a complete metric space (X, d). Then A is compact if and only if it is closed and totally bounded.

Theorem 10 (8.19, Heine-Borel) If $A \subseteq E^1$, then A is compact if and only if A is closed and bounded.

Proof: Let A be a closed, bounded subset of **R**. Then $A \subseteq [a, b]$ for some interval [a, b]. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of elements of [a, b]. By the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, $\{x_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence

with limit $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Since [a, b] is closed, $x \in [a, b]$. Thus, we have shown that [a, b] is sequentially compact, hence compact. A is a closed subset of [a, b], hence A is compact.

Conversely, if A is compact, A is closed. The argument that showed that $[0, \infty)$ is not compact is easily adapted to show that compact sets are bounded.

Theorem 11 (8.20, Heine-Borel) If $A \subseteq \mathbf{E}^n$, then A is compact if and only if A is closed and bounded.

Proof: See de la Fuente.∎

Theorem 12 (8.21) Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be metric spaces. If $f : X \to Y$ is continuous and C is a compact subset of (X, d), then f(C) is compact in (Y, ρ) .

Proof: There is a proof in de la Fuente. In Problem 5(a) of Problem Set 3, you are asked to give a proof using directly the open cover definition of compactness. ■

Corollary 13 (8.22, Extreme Value Theorem) Let C be a compact set in a metric space (X, d), and suppose $f: C \to \mathbf{R}$ is continuous. Then f is bounded on C and attains its minimum and maximum on C.

Proof: f(C) is compact by Theorem 8.21, hence closed and bounded. Let $M = \sup f(C)$; $M < \infty$. Then there exists $y_m \in f(C)$ such that

$$M - \frac{1}{m} \le y_m \le M$$

so M is a limit point of f(C). Since f(C) is closed, $M \in f(C)$, i.e. there exists $c \in C$ such that $f(c) = M = \sup f(C)$, so f attains its maximum at c. The proof for the minimum is similar.

Theorem 14 (8.24) Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be metric spaces, C a compact subset of X, and $f : C \to Y$ continuous. Then f is uniformly continuous on C.

Proof: Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We ignore X and consider f as defined on the metric space (C, d). Given $c \in C$, find $\delta(c) > 0$ such that

$$x \in C, \ d(x,c) < 2\delta(c) \Rightarrow \rho(f(x), f(c)) < \frac{c}{2}$$

Let

 $U_c = B_{\delta(c)}(c)$

Then

 $\{U_c : c \in C\}$

is an open cover of C. Since C is compact, there is a finite subcover

 $\{U_{c_1},\ldots,U_{c_n}\}$

Let

 $\delta = \min\{\delta(c_1), \ldots, \delta(c_n)\}$

Given $x, y \in C$ with $d(x, y) < \delta$, note that $x \in U_{c_i}$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, so $d(x, c_i) < \delta(c_i)$.

$$d(y, c_i) \leq d(y, x) + d(x, c_i)$$
$$< \delta + \delta(c_i)$$
$$\leq \delta(c_i) + \delta(c_i)$$
$$= 2\delta(c_i)$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) \leq \rho(f(x), f(c_i)) + \rho(f(c_i), f(y))$$
$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
$$= \varepsilon$$

which proves that f is uniformly continuous.