

**Advanced Microeconomics**  
**(Economics 104)**  
**Spring 2011**  
**Evolutionary stable strategy (ESS)**

Consider a payoff symmetric game

$$G = \langle \{1, 2\}, (A, A), (u_i) \rangle$$

where

$$u_1(a) = u_2(a')$$

when  $a'$  is obtained from  $a$  by exchanging  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ .

–  $a^* \in A$  is *ESS* iff for any  $a \in A$ ,  $a \neq a^*$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small

$$(1 - \varepsilon)u(a^*, a^*) + \varepsilon u(a^*, a) > (1 - \varepsilon)u(a, a^*) + \varepsilon u(a, a)$$

which is satisfied iff for any  $a \neq a^*$  either

$$u(a^*, a^*) > u(a, a^*)$$

or

$$u(a^*, a^*) = u(a, a^*) \text{ and } u(a^*, a) > u(a, a)$$

If  $a^*$  is an *ESS* then  $(a^*, a^*)$  is a *NE*.

– Suppose not. Then there exists a strategy  $a \in A$  such that

$$u(a, a^*) > u(a^*, a^*)$$

But for  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$(1 - \varepsilon)u(a^*, a^*) + \varepsilon u(a^*, a) < (1 - \varepsilon)u(a, a^*) + \varepsilon u(a, a)$$

– A strategy  $a^*$  is an *ESS* if  $(a^*, a^*)$  is a *NE*, and  $\forall a \neq a^*$  if  $u(a^*, a^*) = u(a, a^*)$  then  $u(a^*, a) > u(a, a)$  (any *strict NE* strategy is *ESS*).

### Existence of *ESS* in $2 \times 2$ game

A game  $G = \langle \{1, 2\}, (A, A), (u_i) \rangle$  where  $u_i(a) \neq u_i(a')$  for any  $a, a'$  has a mixed strategy which is *ESS*.

	$a$	$a'$
$a$	$w, w$	$x, y$
$a'$	$y, x$	$z, z$

- If  $w > y$  or  $z > x$  then  $(a, a)$  or  $(a', a')$  are strict *NE*, and thus  $a$  or  $a'$  are *ESS*.
- If  $w < y$  and  $z < x$  then the game has a symmetric mixed strategy *NE*  $(\alpha^*, \alpha^*)$  in which

$$\alpha^*(a) = (z - x) / (w - y + z - x)$$

To verify that  $\alpha^*$  is *ESS*, we need to show that  $u(\alpha^*, \alpha) > u(\alpha, \alpha)$  for any  $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$ .