Microeconomics III

Bargaining I
The strategic approach
(May 13, 2012)

School of Economics
The Interdisciplinary Center (IDC), Herzliya

The strategic approach

The players bargain over a pie of size 1.

An <u>agreement</u> is a pair (x_1, x_2) where x_i is player i's share of the pie. The set of possible agreements is

$$X = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ : x_1 + x_2 = 1\}$$

Player i prefers $x \in X$ to $y \in X$ if and only if $x_i > y_i$.

The bargaining protocol

The players can take actions only at times in the (infinite) set $T = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$. In each $t \in T$ player i, proposes an agreement $x \in X$ and $j \neq i$ either accepts (Y) or rejects (N).

If x is accepted (Y) then the bargaining ends and x is implemented. If x is rejected (N) then the play passes to period t+1 in which j proposes an agreement.

At all times players have perfect information. Every path in which all offers are rejected is denoted as disagreement (D). The only asymmetry is that player 1 is the first to make an offer.

Preferences

Time preferences (toward agreements at different points in time) are the driving force of the model.

A bargaining game of alternating offers is

- an extensive game of perfect information with the structure given above, and
- player i's preference ordering \lesssim_i over $(X \times T) \cup \{D\}$ is complete and transitive.

Preferences over $X \times T$ are represented by $\delta_i^t u_i(x_i)$ for any $0 < \delta_i < 1$ where u_i is increasing and concave.

Assumptions on preferences

A1 Disagreement is the worst outcome

For any
$$(x,t) \in X \times T$$
,

$$(x,t) \succsim_i D$$

for each i.

A2 Pie is desirable

- For any $t \in T$, $x \in X$ and $y \in X$

$$(x,t) \succ_i (y,t)$$
 if and only if $x_i > y_i$.

A3 Time is valuable

For any $t \in T$, $s \in T$ and $x \in X$

$$(x,t) \succsim_i (x,s) \text{ if } t < s$$

and with strict preferences if $x_i > 0$.

A4 Preference ordering is continuous

Let $\{(x_n,t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{(y_n,s)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be members of $X\times T$ for which

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y.$$

Then, $(x,t) \succsim_i (y,s)$ whenever $(x_n,t) \succsim_i (y_n,s)$ for all n.

A2-A4 imply that for any outcome (x,t) either there is a <u>unique</u> $y \in X$ such that

$$(y,0) \sim_i (x,t)$$

or

$$(y,0) \succ_i (x,t)$$

for every $y \in X$.

Note \succeq_i satisfies **A2-A4** iff it can be represented by a continuous function

$$U_i: [0,1] \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

that is increasing (deceasing) in the first (second) argument.

A5 Stationarity

For any $t \in T$, $x \in X$ and $y \in X$

 $(x,t) \succ_i (y,t+1)$ if and only if $(x,0) \succ_i (y,1)$.

If \succsim_i satisfies **A2-A5** then for every $\delta \in (0,1)$ there exists a continuous increasing function $u_i:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ (not necessarily concave) such that

$$U_i(x_i,t) = \delta_i^t u_i(x_i).$$

Present value

Define $v_i: [0,1] \times T \rightarrow [0,1]$ for i=1,2 as follows

$$v_i(x_i,t) = \begin{cases} y_i & \text{if } (y,0) \sim_i (x,t) \\ 0 & \text{if } (y,0) \succ_i (x,t) \text{ for all } y \in X. \end{cases}$$

We call $v_i(x_i, t)$ player i's present value of (x, t) and note that

$$(y,t) \succ_i (x,s)$$
 whenever $v_i(y_i,t) > v_i(x_i,s)$.

If \succeq_i satisfies **A2-A4**, then for any $t \in T$ $v_i(\cdot, t)$ is continuous, non decreasing and increasing whenever $v_i(x_i, t) > 0$.

Further, $v_i(x_i, t) \le x_i$ for every $(x, t) \in X \times T$ and with strict whenever $x_i > 0$ and $t \ge 1$.

With A5, we also have that

$$v_i(v_i(x_i,1),1) = v_i(x_i,2)$$

for any $x \in X$.

Delay

A6 Increasing loss to delay

 $x_i - v_i(x_i, 1)$ is an increasing function of x_i .

If u_i is differentiable then under ${\bf A6}$ in any representation $\delta_i^t u_i(x_i)$ of \succsim_i

$$\delta_i u_i'(x_i) < u_i'(v_i(x_i, 1))$$

whenever $v_i(x_i, 1) > 0$.

This assumption is weaker than concavity of u_i which implies

$$u_i'(x_i) < u_i'(v_i(x_i, 1)).$$

The single crossing property of present values

If \succeq_i for each i satisfies **A2-A6**, then there exist a unique pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that

$$y_1^* = v_1(x_1^*, 1)$$
 and $x_2^* = v_2(y_2^*, 1)$.

- For every $x \in X$, let $\psi(x)$ be the agreement for which

$$\psi_1(x) = v_1(x_1, 1)$$

and define $H:X\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$H(x) = x_2 - v_2(\psi_2(x), 1).$$

- The pair of agreements x and $y = \psi(x)$ satisfies also $x_2 = v_2(\psi_2(x), 1)$ if f(x) = 0.
- Note that $H(0,1) \geq 0$ and $H(1,0) \leq 0$, H is a continuous function, and

$$H(x) = [v_1(x_1, 1) - x_1] + + [1 - v_1(x_1, 1) - v_2(1 - v_1(x_1, 1), 1)].$$

- Since $v_1(x_1, 1)$ is non decreasing in x_1 , and both terms are decreasing in x_1 , H has a unique zero by $\mathbf{A6}$.

Examples

[1] For every $(x,t) \in X \times T$

$$U_i(x_i, t) = \delta_i^t x_i$$

where $\delta_i \in (0,1)$, and $U_i(D) = 0$.

[2] For every $(x,t) \in X \times T$

$$U_i(x_i, t) = x_i - c_i t$$

where $c_i > 0$, and $U_i(D) = -\infty$ (constant cost of delay).

Although **A6** is violated, when $c_1 \neq c_2$ there is a unique pair $(x,y) \in X \times X$ such that $y_1 = v_1(x_1,1)$ and $x_2 = v_2(y_2,1)$.

Strategies

Let X^t be the set of all sequences $\{x^0, ..., x^{t-1}\}$ of members of X.

A strategy of player 1 (2) is a sequence of functions

$$\sigma = {\{\sigma^t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}}$$

such that $\sigma^t: X^t \to X$ if t is even (odd), and $\sigma^t: X^{t+1} \to \{Y, N\}$ if t is odd (even).

The way of representing a player's strategy in closely related to the notion of <u>automation</u>.

Nash equilibrium

For any $\bar{x} \in X$, the outcome $(\bar{x}, 0)$ is a NE when players' preference satisfy **A1-A6**.

To see this, consider the stationary strategy profile

Player 1	proposes	$ar{x}$
	accepts	$x_1 \geq \bar{x}_1$
DI 0		=
Player 2	proposes	x

This is an example for a pair of one-state automate.

The set of outcomes generated in the Nash equilibrium includes also delays (agreements in period 1 or later).

Subgame perfect equilibrium

Any bargaining game of alternating offers in which players' preferences satisfy $\bf A1\text{-}A6$ has a <u>unique</u> SPE which is the solution of the following equations

$$y_1^* = v_1(x_1^*, 1)$$
 and $x_2^* = v_2(y_2^*, 1)$.

Note that if $y_1^* > 0$ and $x_2^* > 0$ then

$$(y_1^*,0) \sim_1 (x_1^*,1)$$
 and $(x_2^*,0) \sim_2 (y_2^*,1)$.

The equilibrium strategy profile is given by

Player 1	proposes	x^*
	accepts	$y_1 \ge y_1^*$
Player 2	proposes	y^*
	accepts	$x_2 \ge x_2^*$

The unique outcome is that player 1 proposes x^{*} in period 0 and player 2 accepts.

Step 1
$$(x^*, y^*)$$
 is a SPE

Player 1:

- proposing x^* at t^* leads to an outcome (x^*, t^*) . Any other strategy generates either

$$(x,t)$$
 where $x_1 \leq x_1^*$ and $t \geq t^*$

or

$$(y^*,t)$$
 where $t \geq t^* + 1$

or D.

- Since $x_1^* > y_1^*$ it follows from **A1-A3** that (x^*, t^*) is a best response.

Player 2:

– accepting x^* at t^* leads to an outcome (x^*, t^*) . Any other strategy generates either

$$(y,t)$$
 where $y_2 \leq y_2^*$ and $t \geq t^* + 1$

or

$$(x^*, t)$$
 where $t \ge t^*$

or D.

- By **A1-A3** and **A5**

$$(x^*, t^*) \gtrsim_2 (y^*, t^* + 1)$$

and thus accepting x^* at t^* , which leads to the outcome (x^*, t^*) , is a best response.

Note that similar arguments apply to a subgame starting with an offer of player 2.

Step 2 (x^*, y^*) is the unique SPE

Let G_i be a subgame starting with an offer of player i and define

$$M_i = \sup\{v_i(x_i, t) : (x, t) \in SPE(G_i)\},\$$

and

$$m_i = \inf\{v_i(x_i, t) : (x, t) \in SPE(G_i)\}.$$

It is suffices to show that

$$M_1 = m_1 = x_1^*$$
 and $M_2 = m_2 = y_2^*$.

First, note that in any SPE the first offer is accepted because

$$v_1(y_1^*, 1) \le y_1^* < x_1^*.$$

Thus, after a rejection, the present value for player 1 is less than x_1^* .

Then, it remains to show that

$$m_2 \ge 1 - v_1(M_1, 1) \tag{1}$$

and

$$M_1 \le 1 - v_2(m_2, 1). \tag{2}$$

1 implies that the pair $(M_1, 1 - m_2)$ lies below the line

$$y_1 = v_1(x_1, 1)$$

and 2 implies that the pair $(M_1, 1 - m_2)$ lies to the left the line

$$x_2 = v_2(y_2, 1).$$

Thus,

$$M_1 = x_1^* \text{ and } m_2 = y_2^*,$$

and with the role of the players reversed, the same argument show that

$$M_2 = y_2^*$$
 and $m_1 = x_1^*$.

With constant discount rates the equilibrium condition implies that

$$y_1^* = \delta_1 x_1^*$$
 and $x_2^* = \delta_2 y_2^*$

so that

$$x^* = \left(\frac{1 - \delta_2}{1 - \delta_1 \delta_2}, \frac{\delta_2 (1 - \delta_1)}{1 - \delta_1 \delta_2}\right) \text{ and } y^* = \left(\frac{\delta_1 (1 - \delta_2)}{1 - \delta_1 \delta_2}, \frac{1 - \delta_1}{1 - \delta_1 \delta_2}\right).$$

Thus, if $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta$ $(v_1 = v_2)$ then

$$x^* = \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta}, \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}\right) \text{ and } y^* = \left(\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}, \frac{1}{1+\delta}\right)$$

so player 1 obtains more than half of the pie.

But, shrinking the length of a period by considering a sequence of games indexed by Δ in which $u_i=\delta_i^{\Delta t}x_i$ we have

$$\lim_{\Delta \to 0} x^*(\Delta) = \lim_{\Delta \to 0} y^*(\Delta) = \left(\frac{\log \delta_2}{\log \delta_1 + \log \delta_2}, \frac{\log \delta_1}{\log \delta_1 + \log \delta_2}\right).$$