## **EXERCISE 2. GROWTH AND EXIT OF FIRMS (continued)**

(To be handed in on Nov. 9)

This exercise uses the data on exit of firms from Exercise 1. As before, 8031 observations can be found in the file ex1-1.dat in format 'f12.0,3f10.0,3g11.0,f9.0,11g11.0', with the variables:

id	firm id
year	4 digit year, between 1986 and 1995
sic	4 digit sic code
ind	2 digit industry code
sales	annual sales (mill. dol.)
emply	employment (1000s)
invest	investment (mill. dol.)
rnd	R&D spending (mill. dol.)
cashfl	cash flow (= retained earnings + depreciation allowances) (mill. dol.)
kstock	knowledge stock (= accumulated R&D investment) (mill. dol.)
netcap	net capital stock (mill. dol.)
debt	long term debt (mill. dol.)
q	Tobin's q
loge	log (employment in 1000s)
rs	ratio of R&D invest to sales
cc	ratio of cashflow to net capital stock
drnd	dummy: zero R&D investment
exit	dummy: firm exits between year and year+1
grsales	growth rate in sales (percent) between year and year+1

For this exercise, ignore the panel structure and treat the observations across years *as if* they were independent. A Cobb-Douglas production function,

$$sales = A(emply)^{\alpha} (netcap)^{\beta} e^{\epsilon}$$

is proposed in which  $\epsilon$  is assumed to have mean zero and a homoskedastic variance, and A is a linear function of the ratio of the knowledge stock to the net capital stock, reflecting the impact of innovation on productivity,  $A = \gamma + \delta^*(kstock)/(netcap)$ .

- a. Estimate the model by non-linear least squares, a GMM procedure.
- b. Test the hypothesis that  $\delta = 0$ .
- c. Do a Wald test of the hypothesis of constant returns to scale  $(\alpha + \beta = 1)$ .
- d. Do a Distance Metric test of the hypothesis of constant returns to scale.
- e. Do a Lagrange Multiplier test of the hypothesis of constant returns to scale.
- d. (extra credit) What is the power of the test of constant returns to scale against the alternative that  $\alpha + \beta = 1.2$ ?