# Economics 101A (Lecture 8, Revised)

Stefano DellaVigna

September 18, 2003

#### Outline

- 1. Income changes
- 2. Price Changes
- 3. Expenditure minimization

### **1** Income changes

- Income increases from M to to M' > M.
- Budget line  $(p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 = M)$  shifts out:

$$x_2 = \frac{M'}{p_2} - x_1 \frac{p_1}{p_2}$$

• New optimum?

• Engel curve:  $x_i^*(M)$ : demand for good *i* as function of income *M* holding fixed prices  $p_1, p_2$ 

- Does  $x_i^*$  increase with M?
  - Yes. Good i is normal

- No. Good i is inferior

#### 2 Price changes

- Price of good i increases from  $p_i$  to to  $p_i^\prime > p_i$
- For example, decrease in price of good 2,  $p_2^\prime < p_2$
- Budget line tilts:

$$x_2 = \frac{M}{p_2'} - x_1 \frac{p_1}{p_2'}$$

• New optimum?

• Demand curve:  $x_i^*(p_i)$ : demand for good *i* as function of own price holding fixed  $p_j$  and M

 Odd convention of economists: plot price p<sub>i</sub> on vertical axis and quantity x<sub>i</sub> on horizontal axis. Better get used to it!

- Does  $x_i^*$  decrease with  $p_i$ ?
  - Yes. Most cases

- No. Good i is Giffen

- Ex.: Potatoes in Ireland
- Do not confuse with Veblen effect for luxury goods or informational asimmetries: these effects are real, but not included in current model [REVISED]

## **3** Expenditure minimization

- Nicholson, Ch. 4, pp. 105-108.
- Solve problem **EMIN** (minimize expenditure):

 $\min p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2$ <br/>s.t.  $u(x_1, x_2) \ge \bar{u}$ 

- $\bullet$  Choose bundle that attains utility  $\bar{u}$  with minimal expenditure
- Ex.: You are choosing combination CDs/restaurant to make a friend happy
- If utility *u* strictly increasing in *x<sub>i</sub>*, can maximize s.t. equality
- Denote by  $h_i(p_1, p_2, \bar{u})$  solution to EMIN problem
- $h_i(p_1, p_2, \bar{u})$  is Hicksian or compensated demand

- Graphically:
  - Fix indifference curve at level  $\bar{u}$
  - Consider budget sets with different  ${\cal M}$
  - Pick budget set which is tangent to indifference curve

- Optimum coincides with optimum of Utility Maximization!
- Formally:

$$h_i(p_1, p_2, \bar{u}) = x_i^*(p_1, p_2, e(p_1, p_2, \bar{u}))$$

- Expenditure function is expenditure at optimum
- $e(p_1, p_2, \bar{u}) = p_1 h_1(p_1, p_2, \bar{u}) + p_2 h_2(p_1, p_2, \bar{u})$

- $h_i(p_i)$  is Hicksian or compensated demand function
- Is  $h_i$  always decreasing in  $p_i$ ? Yes!
- Graphical proof: moving along a convex indifference curve

• (For non-convex indifferent curves, still true)

- Now: go back to case where  $p_2$  increases to  $p'_2 > p_2$
- What is  $\partial x_2^* / \partial p_2$ ? Decompose effect:
  - 1. Substitution effect of an increase in  $p_i$ 
    - $\partial h_2^* / \partial p_2$ , that is change in EMIN point as  $p_2$  descreases
    - Moving along an indifference curve
    - Certainly  $\partial h_2^* / \partial p_2 < 0$

- 2. Income effect of an increase in  $p_i$ 
  - $\partial x_2^*/\partial M$ , increase in consumption of good 2 due to increased income
- \* Shift out a budget line
  - \*  $\partial x_2^* / \partial M > 0$  for normal goods,  $\partial x_2^* / \partial M < 0$  for inferior goods