Economics 101A (Lecture 10)

Stefano DellaVigna

October 5, 2004

Outline

- 1. Intertemporal choice II
- 2. Altruism and charitable donations
- 3. Introduction to probability
- 4. (Expected Utility)

1 Intertemporal choice II

• Maximization problem:

$$\max U(c_0) + \frac{1}{1+\delta}U(c_1)$$

$$s.t. \ c_0 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_1 \le M_0 + \frac{1}{1+r}M_1$$

• Rewrite ratio of f.o.c.s and budget constraint as

$$U'(c_0) - \frac{1+r}{1+\delta}U'(M_1 + (M_0 - c_0)(1+r)) = 0$$

- ullet Comparative statics with respect to interest rate r
- Apply implicit function theorem:

$$\frac{\partial c_0^* (r, \mathbf{M})}{\partial r} = -\frac{\frac{-\frac{1}{1+\delta}U'(c_1)}{U''(c_0) - \frac{1+r}{1+\delta}U''(c_1) * (-(1+r))}}{-\frac{\frac{1+r}{1+\delta}U''(c_1) * (M_0 - c_0)}{U''(c_0) - \frac{1+r}{1+\delta}U''(c_1) * (-(1+r))}}$$

• Denominator is always negative

- Numerator: First term is negative (substitution effect)
- Second term is income effect:
 - positive if $M_0 > c_0$
 - negative if $M_0 < c_0$.

2 Altruism and Charitable Donations

- Maximize utility = satisfy self-interest?
- No, not necessarily

- 2-person economy:
 - Mark has income ${\cal M}_M$ and consumes c_M
 - Wendy has income M_W and consumes c_W

ullet One good: c, with price $p=\mathbf{1}$

• Utility function: u(c), with u' > 0, u'' < 0

• Wendy is altruistic: she maximizes $u(c_W) + \alpha u(c_M)$ with $\alpha > 0$

ullet Mark simply maximizes $u(c_M)$

ullet Wendy can give a donation of income D to Mark.

ullet Wendy computes the utility of Mark as a function of the donation D

Mark maximizes

$$\max_{c_M} u(c_M)$$

$$s.t. \ c_M \le M_M + D$$

• Solution: $c_M^* = M_M + D$

Wendy maximizes

$$\max_{c_M, D} u(c_W) + \alpha u \left(M_M + D \right)$$

$$s.t. \ c_W \le M_W - D$$

• Rewrite as:

$$\max_{D} u(M_W - D) + \alpha u(M_M + D)$$

• First order condition:

$$-u'(M_W - D^*) + \alpha u'(M_M + D^*) = 0$$

• Second order conditions:

$$u''(M_W - D^*) + \alpha u''(M_M + D^*) < 0$$

- Assume $\alpha = 1$.
 - Solution?

$$-u'(M_W - D) = u'(M_M + D^*)$$

$$-M_W-D^*=M_M+D^* \text{ or } D^*=(M_W-M_M)/2$$

- Transfer money so as to equate incomes!
- Careful: $D<{\bf 0}$ (negative donation!) if $M_M>M_W$
- Corrected maximization:

$$\max_{D} u(M_W - D) + \alpha u (M_M + D)$$

$$s.t.D \ge 0$$

• Solution ($\alpha = 1$):

$$D^* = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} (M_W - M_M)/2 & ext{if } M_W - M_M > 0 \\ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

- Assume interior solution. $(D^* > 0)$
- Comparative statics 1 (altruism):

$$\frac{\partial D^*}{\partial \alpha} = -\frac{u'(M_M + D^*)}{u''(M_W - D^*) + \alpha u''(M_M + D^*)} > 0$$

• Comparative statics 2 (income of donor):

$$\frac{\partial D^*}{\partial M_W} = -\frac{-u''(M_W + D^*)}{u''(M_W - D^*) + \alpha u''(M_M + D^*)} > 0$$

Comparative statics 3 (income of recipient):

$$\frac{\partial D^*}{\partial M_M} = -\frac{\alpha u'' (M_M + D^*)}{u'' (M_W - D^*) + \alpha u'' (M_M + D^*)} < 0$$

3 Introduction to Probability

- So far deterministic world:
 - income given, known M
 - interest rate known r
- But some variables are unknown at time of decision:
 - future income M_1 ?
 - future interest rate r_1 ?

- Generalize framework to allow for uncertainty
 - Events that are truly unpredictable (weather)
 - Event that are very hard to predict (future income)

Probability is the language of uncertainty

• Example:

- Income M_1 at $t=\mathbf{1}$ depends on state of the economy
- Recession $(M_1=20)$, Slow growth $(M_2=25)$, Boom $(M_3=30)$
- Three probabilities: p_1, p_2, p_3
- $p_1 = P(M_1) = P(\text{recession})$

• Properties:

$$-0 \le p_i \le 1$$

$$-p_1+p_2+p_3=1$$

• Mean income: $EM = \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_i M_i$

• If
$$(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$$
,
$$EM = \frac{1}{3}20 + \frac{1}{3}25 + \frac{1}{3}30 = \frac{75}{3} = 25$$

- Variance of income: $V(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_i (M_i EM)^2$
- If $(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$, $V(M) = \frac{1}{3}(20 - 25)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(25 - 25)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(30 - 25)^2$ $= \frac{1}{3}5^2 + \frac{1}{3}5^2 = 2/3 * 25$

• Mean and variance if $(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (1/4, 1/2, 1/4)$?

4 Expected Utility

- Nicholson, Ch. 18, pp. 533–541 [OLD: Ch. 8, pp. 198–206]
- Consumer at time 0 asks: what is utility in time 1?
- At t = 1 consumer maximizes

$$\max_{s.t.} U(c^1)$$

$$s.t. \ c_i^1 \leq M_i^1 + (1+r) \, (M^0 - c^0)$$
 with $i=1,2,3.$

- What is utility at optimum at t = 1 if U' > 0?
- Assume for now $M^0 c^0 = 0$
- Utility $U\left(M_i^1\right)$
- \bullet This is uncertain, depends on which i is realized!

- How do we evaluate future uncertain utility?
- Expected utility

$$EU = \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_i U\left(M_i^1\right)$$

• In example:

$$EU = 1/3U(20) + 1/3U(25) + 1/3U(30)$$

- Compare with U(EC) = U(25).
- ullet Agents prefer riskless outcome EM to uncertain outcome M if

$$1/3U(20) + 1/3U(25) + 1/3U(30) < U(25)$$
 or $1/3U(20) + 1/3U(30) < 2/3U(25)$ or $1/2U(20) + 1/2U(30) < U(25)$

Picture

- Depends on sign of U'', on concavity/convexity
- Three cases:

-
$$U''(x) = 0$$
 for all x . (linearity of U)
$$* \ U(x) = a + bx$$

$$* \ 1/2U(20) + 1/2U(30) = U(25)$$

-
$$U''(x) < 0$$
 for all x . (concavity of U)
$$* \ 1/2U(20) + 1/2U(30) < U(25)$$

-
$$U''(x) > 0$$
 for all x . (convexity of U) * $1/2U(20) + 1/2U(30) > U(25)$

• If U''(x) = 0 (linearity), consumer is indifferent to uncertainty

• If U''(x) < 0 (concavity), consumer dislikes uncertainty

ullet If U''(x) > 0 (convexity), consumer likes uncertainty

- Do consumers like uncertainty?
- Do *you* like uncertainty?

• Theorem. (Jensen's inequality) If a function f(x) is concave, the following inequality holds:

$$f(Ex) \ge Ef(x)$$

where ${\cal E}$ indicates expectation. If f is strictly concave, we obtain

- Apply to utility function *U*.
- Individuals dislike uncertainty:

$$U(Ex) \ge EU(x)$$

- Jensen's inequality then implies U concave $(U'' \le 0)$
- Relate to diminishing marginal utility of income