Economics 101A (Lecture 13)

Stefano DellaVigna

October 14, 2004

Outline

- 1. Time Inconsistency II
- 2. Health Club Attendance
- 3. Production: Introduction
- 4. Production Function
- 5. Returns to Scale
- 6. Two-step Cost Minimization

1 Time Inconsistency II

- Alternative specification (Akerlof, 1991; Laibson, 1997;
 O'Donoghue and Rabin, 1999)
- Utility at time t is $u(c_t, c_{t+1}, c_{t+2})$:

$$u(c_t) + \frac{\beta}{1+\delta}u(c_{t+1}) + \frac{\beta}{(1+\delta)^2}u(c_{t+2}) + \dots$$

Discount factor is

$$1, \frac{\beta}{1+\delta}, \frac{\beta}{(1+\delta)^2}, \frac{\beta}{(1+\delta)^3}, \dots$$

instead of

$$1, \frac{1}{1+\delta}, \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^2}, \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^3}, ...$$

- What is the difference?
- Immediate gratification: $\beta < 1$

- Back to our problem: **Period 1**.
- Maximization problem:

$$\max U(c_1) + \frac{\beta}{1+\delta} EU(c_2)$$

$$s.t. \ c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r} c_2 \le M_1' + \frac{1}{1+r} M_2$$

- First order conditions:
- Ratio of f.o.c.s:

$$\frac{U'(c_1^*)}{EU'(c_2^*)} = \beta \frac{1+r}{1+\delta}$$

- Now, **period 0** with commitment.
- Maximization problem:

$$\max U(c_0) + \frac{\beta}{1+\delta}U(c_1) + \frac{\beta}{(1+\delta)^2}EU(c_2)$$

$$s.t. \ c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 \le M_1' + \frac{1}{1+r}M_2$$

- First order conditions:
- Ratio of f.o.c.s:

$$\frac{U'(c_1^{*,c})}{EU'(c_2^{*,c})} = \frac{1+r}{1+\delta}$$

- The two conditions differ!
- \bullet Time inconsistency: $c_1^{*,c} < c_1^*$ and $c_2^{*,c} > c_2^*$
- The agent allows him/herself too much immediate consumption and saves too little

Ok, we agree. but should we study this as economists?

• YES!

- One trillion dollars in credit card debt;
- Most debt is in teaser rates;
- Two thirds of Americans are overwight or obese;
- \$10bn health-club industry

- Is this testable?
 - In the laboratory?
 - In the field?

2 Health Club Attendance

- Health club industry study (DellaVigna and Malmendier, 2002)
- 3 health clubs
- Data on attendance from swiping cards

- Choice of contracts:
 - Monthly contract with average price of \$75
 - 10-visit pass for \$100

Consider users that choose monthly contract. Attendance?

• Attend on average 4.8 times per *month*

• Pay on average over \$17

• Average delay of 2.2 months (\$185) between last attendance and contract termination

 Over membership, user could have saved \$700 by paying per visit

- Health club attendance:
 - immediate cost c
 - delayed benefit b
- At sign-up (attend tomorrow):

$$NB^{t} = -\frac{\beta}{1+\delta}c + \frac{\beta}{(1+\delta)^{2}}b$$

ullet Plan to attend if $NB^t>0$

$$c < \frac{1}{(1+\delta)}b$$

• Once moment to attend comes:

$$NB = -c + \frac{\beta}{(1+\delta)}b$$

• Attend if NB > 0

$$c < \frac{\beta}{(1+\delta)}b$$

•	Interpretations?
•	Users are buying a commitment device
•	User underestimate their future self-control problems - They overestimate future attendance - They delay cancellation

3 Production: Introduction

Second half of the economy. Production

- Example. Ford and the Minivan (Petrin, 2002):
 - Ford had idea: "Mini/Max" (early '70s)
 - Did Ford produce it?
 - No!
 - Ford was worried of cannibalizing station wagon sector
 - Chrysler introduces Dodge Caravan (1984)
 - Chrysler: \$1.5bn profits (by 1987)!

• Why need separate treatment?

• Perhaps firms maximize utility...

- ...we can be more precise:
 - Competition
 - Institutional structure

4 Production Function

- Nicholson, Ch. 7, pp. 183–190; 195–200 [OLD: Ch. 11, pp. 268–275; 280–285]
- Production function: $y = f(\mathbf{z})$. Function $f: \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$
- Inputs $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$: labor, capital, land, human capital
- Output y: Minivan, Intel Pentium III, mangoes (Philippines)
- Properties of f:
 - no free lunches: f(0) = 0
 - positive marginal productivity: $f_i'(\mathbf{z}) > 0$
 - decreasing marginal productivity: $f_{i,i}''(\mathbf{z}) < 0$

- Isoquants $Q(y) = \{\mathbf{x} | f(\mathbf{x}) = y\}$
- ullet Set of inputs ${f z}$ required to produce quantity y
- Special case. Two inputs:

$$-z_1 = L$$
 (labor)

$$-z_2 = K$$
 (capital)

- Isoquant: f(L,K) y = 0
- Slope of isoquant dK/dL = MRTS

• Convex production function if convex isoquants

 Reasonable: combine two technologies and do better!

• Mathematically, $d^2K/d^2L =$

5 Returns to Scale

- Nicholson, Ch. 7, pp. 190–193 [OLD: Ch. 11, pp. 275–278]
- ullet Effect of increase in labor: f_L'
- ullet Increase of all inputs: $f(t\mathbf{z})$ with t scalar, t>1
- How much does input increase?
 - Decreasing returns to scale: for all z and t > 1,

$$f(t\mathbf{z}) < tf(\mathbf{z})$$

- Constant returns to scale: for all \mathbf{z} and t > 1,

$$f(t\mathbf{z}) = tf(\mathbf{z})$$

- Increasing returns to scale: for all ${\bf z}$ and t>1,

$$f(t\mathbf{z}) > tf(\mathbf{z})$$

- Example: $y = f(K, L) = AK^{\alpha}L^{\beta}$
- ullet Marginal product of labor: $f_L'=$
- ullet Decreasing marginal product of labor: $f_L^{\prime\prime}=$
- \bullet MRTS =

• Convex isoquant?

• Returns to scale: $f(tK, tL) = A(tK)^{\alpha}(tL)^{\beta} = t^{\alpha+\beta}AK^{\alpha}L^{\beta} = t^{\alpha+\beta}f(K, L)$

6 Two-step Cost minimization

- Nicholson, pp. 212–220 [OLD, Ch. 12, pp. 298–307]
- Objective of firm: Produce output that generates maximal profit.

- Decompose problem in two:
 - Given production level y, choose cost-minimizing combinations of inputs
 - Choose optimal level of y.

• First step. Cost-Minimizing choice of inputs

• Two-input case: Labor, Capital

- Input prices:
 - Wage w is price of L
 - Interest rate r is rental price of capital K
- ullet Expenditure on inputs: wL + rK

• Firm objective function:

$$\min wL + rK$$
$$s.t.f(L, K) \ge y$$

Compare with expenditure minimization for consumers

• First order conditions:

$$w - \lambda f_L' = 0$$

and

$$r - \lambda f_K' = 0$$

• Rewrite as

$$\frac{f_L'(L^*, K^*)}{f_K'(L^*, K^*)} = \frac{w}{r}$$

MRTS (slope of isoquant) equals ratio of input prices

• Graphical interpretation

• Derived demand for inputs:

$$-L = L^*(w, r, y)$$

$$-K = K^*(w, r, y)$$

• Value function at optimum is **cost function**:

$$c(w, r, y) = wL^*(r, w, y) + rK^*(r, w, y)$$

ullet Second step. Given cost function, choose optimal quantity of y as well

- \bullet Price of output is p.
- Firm's objective:

$$\max py - c(w, r, y)$$

• First order condition:

$$p - c_y'(w, r, y) = 0$$

• Price equals marginal cost – very important!

7 Next Lecture

- Continue Cost Minimization
- Solve an Example
- Cases in which s.o.c. are not satisfied
- Start Profit Maximization