Economics 101A (Lecture 19)

Stefano DellaVigna

November 9, 2004

Outline

- 1. Monopoly
- 2. Price Discrimination
- 3. Oligopoly?
- 4. Game Theory

1 Profit Maximization: Monopoly

 Monopoly. Firm maximizes profits, that is, revenue minus costs:

$$\max_{y} p(y) y - c(y)$$

• Notice $p(y) = D^{-1}(y)$

• First order condition:

$$p'(y)y + p(y) - c'_y(y) = 0$$

or

$$\frac{p(y) - c_y'(y)}{p} = -p'(y)\frac{y}{p} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{y,p}}$$

- Compare with f.o.c. in perfect competition
- Check s.o.c.

- Elasticity of demand determines markup:
 - very elastic demand \rightarrow low mark-up
 - relatively inelastic demand → higher mark-up

- Graphically, y^* is where marginal revenue (p'(y)y + p(y)) equals marginal cost $(c'_y(y))$
- ullet Find p on demand function

- Example.
- ullet Linear inverse demand function p=a-by
- Linear costs: C(y) = cy, with c > 0
- Maximization:

$$\max_{y} (a - by) y - cy$$

• Solution:

$$y^*(a,b,c) = \frac{a-c}{2b}$$

and

$$p^*(a, b, c) = a - b \frac{a - c}{2b} = \frac{a + c}{2}$$

- S.O.C.
- Figure

- Comparative statics:
 - Change in marginal cost \boldsymbol{c}

 ${\color{red}\mathsf{-}}$ Shift in demand curve a

Monopoly profits

• Case 1. High profits

• Case 2. No profits

- Welfare consequences of monopoly
 - Too little production
 - Too high prices

• Graphical analysis

2 Price Discrimination

- Nicholson, Ch. 13, pp. 397–404 [OLD: Ch. 18, pp. 508–515].
- Restriction of contract space:
 - So far, one price for all consumers. But:
 - Can sell at different prices to differing consumers (first degree or perfect price discrimination).

Self-selection: Prices as function of quantity purchased, equal across people (second degree price discrimination).

 Segmented markets: equal per-unit prices across units (third degree price discrimination).

2.1 Perfect price discimination

- Monopolist decides price and quantity consumer-byconsumer
- What does it charge? Graphically,

- Welfare:
 - gain in efficiency;
 - all the surplus goes to firm

2.2 Self-selection

- Perfect price discrimination not legal
- Cannot charge different prices for same quantity to A and B
- Partial Solution:
 - offer different quantities of goods at different prices;
 - allow consumers to choose quantity desired

• Examples (very important!):
 bundling of goods (xeroxing machines and toner)
quantity discounts
two-part tariffs (cell phones)

•	Examp	le:
---	-------	-----

- Consumer A has value \$1 for up to 100 photocopies per month
- Consumer B has value \$.50 for up to 1,000 photocopies per month

- Firm maximizes profits by selling (for ε small):
 - 100-photocopies for \$100- ε
 - 1,000 photocopies for \$500- ε

• Problem if resale!

2.3 Segmented markets

- Firm now separates markets
- Within market, charges constant per-unit price

- Example:
 - cost function TC(y) = cy.
 - Market A: inverse demand dunction $p_{A}\left(y\right)$ or
 - Market B: inverse dunction $p_B(y)$

• Profit maximization problem:

$$\max_{y_A,y_B} p_A\left(y_A\right) y_A + p_B\left(y_B\right) y_B - c\left(y_A + y_B\right)$$

• First order conditions:

• Elasticity interpretation

• Firm charges more to markets with lower elasticity

- Examples:
 - student discounts

- prices of goods across countries:
 - * airlines (US and Europe)
 - * books (US and UK)
 - * cars (Europe)

• As markets integrate (Internet), less possible to do the latter.

3 Oligopoly?

- Extremes:
 - Perfect competition
 - Monopoly
- ullet Oligopoly if there are n (two, five...) firms

- Examples:
 - soft drinks: Coke, Pepsi;
 - cellular phones: Sprint, AT&T, Cingular,...
 - car dealers

• Firm *i* maximizes:

$$\max_{y_i} p\left(y_i + y_{-i}\right) y_i - c\left(y_i\right)$$
 where $y_{-i} = \sum_{j \neq i} y_j.$

• First order condition with respect to y_i :

$$p'_{Y}(y_{i}+y_{-i})y_{i}+p-c'_{Y}(y_{i})=0.$$

- ullet Problem: what is the value of y_{-i} ?
 - simultaneous determination?
 - can firms -i observe y_i ?
- Need to study strategic interaction

4 Game Theory

- Nicholson, Ch. 15, pp. 440–449 [OLD: Ch. 10, pp. 246–255].
- Unfortunate name
- Game theory: study of decisions when payoff of player i depends on actions of player j.
- Brief history:
 - von Neuman and Morgenstern, Theory of Games and Economic Behavior (1944)
 - Nash, Non-cooperative Games (1951)
 - **–** ...
 - Nobel Prize to Nash, Harsanyi (Berkeley), Selten
 (1994)

• Definitions:

- Players: 1, ..., I

- Strategy $s_i \in S_i$

- Payoffs: $U_i(s_i, s_{-i})$

• Example: Prisoner's Dilemma

$$-I = 2$$

$$- s_i = \{D, ND\}$$

- Payoffs matrix:

• What prediction?

• Maximize sum of payoffs? No

• Choose dominant strategies!

• Battle of the Sexes game:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{He} \setminus \text{She} & \text{Ballet} & \text{Football} \\ & \text{Ballet} & 2,1 & 0,0 \\ & \text{Football} & 0,0 & 1,2 \end{array}$$

- Choose dominant strategies? Not possible
- Nash Equilibrium.
- \bullet Strategies $s^* = \left(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*\right)$ are a Nash Equilibrium if

$$U_i\left(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*\right) \ge U_i\left(s_i, s_{-i}^*\right)$$

for all $s_i \in S_i$ and i = 1, ..., I

5 Next lecture

- More game theory
- Back to oligopoly:
 - Cournot
 - Bertrand