Economics 101A (Lecture 20)

Stefano DellaVigna

November 16, 2004

Outline

- 1. Oligopoly?
- 2. Game Theory
- 3. Oligopoly: Cournot
- 4. Oligopoly: Bertrand

1 Oligopoly?

- Extremes:
 - Perfect competition
 - Monopoly
- ullet Oligopoly if there are n (two, five...) firms

- Examples:
 - soft drinks: Coke, Pepsi;
 - cellular phones: Sprint, AT&T, Cingular,...
 - car dealers

• Firm *i* maximizes:

$$\max_{y_i} p\left(y_i + y_{-i}\right) y_i - c\left(y_i\right)$$
 where $y_{-i} = \sum_{j \neq i} y_j.$

• First order condition with respect to y_i :

$$p'_{Y}(y_{i}+y_{-i})y_{i}+p-c'_{Y}(y_{i})=0.$$

- ullet Problem: what is the value of y_{-i} ?
 - simultaneous determination?
 - can firms -i observe y_i ?
- Need to study strategic interaction

2 Game Theory

- Nicholson, Ch. 15, pp. 440–449 [OLD: Ch. 10, pp. 246–255].
- Unfortunate name
- Game theory: study of decisions when payoff of player i depends on actions of player j.
- Brief history:
 - von Neuman and Morgenstern, Theory of Games and Economic Behavior (1944)
 - Nash, Non-cooperative Games (1951)
 - **–** ...
 - Nobel Prize to Nash, Harsanyi (Berkeley), Selten (1994)

• Definitions:

- Players: 1, ..., I

- Strategy $s_i \in S_i$

- Payoffs: $U_i(s_i, s_{-i})$

• Example: Prisoner's Dilemma

$$-I = 2$$

$$- s_i = \{D, ND\}$$

- Payoffs matrix:

• What prediction?

Maximize sum of payoffs

• Choose dominant strategies

• Equilibrium in dominant stategies

 \bullet Strategies $s^* = \left(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*\right)$ are an Equilibrium in dominant stategies if

$$U_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}) \ge U_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

for all $s_i \in S_i$, for all $s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$ and all i = 1, ..., I

• Battle of the Sexes game:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{He} \setminus \text{She} & \text{Ballet} & \text{Football} \\ & \text{Ballet} & 2,1 & 0,0 \\ & \text{Football} & 0,0 & 1,2 \end{array}$$

- Choose dominant strategies? Not possible
- Nash Equilibrium.
- \bullet Strategies $s^* = \left(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*\right)$ are a Nash Equilibrium if

$$U_i\left(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*\right) \ge U_i\left(s_i, s_{-i}^*\right)$$

for all $s_i \in S_i$ and i = 1, ..., I

• Is Nash Equilibrium unique?

• Does it always exist?

• Penalty kick in soccer (matching pennies)

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{Kicker} \setminus \text{Goalie} & L & R \\ L & 0,1 & 1,0 \\ R & 1,0 & 0,1 \end{array}$$

ullet Equilibrium always exists in mixed strategies σ

Mixed strategy: allow for probability distibution.

- Back to penalty kick:
 - Kicker kicks left with probability k
 - Goalie kicks left with probability g

- utility for kicker of playing L :

$$U_K(L,\sigma) = gU_K(L,L) + (1-g)U_K(L,R)$$

= $(1-g)$

- utility for kicker of playing R:

$$U_K(R,\sigma) = gU_K(R,L) + (1-g)U_K(R,R)$$

= g

• Optimum?

-
$$L \succ R$$
 if $1 - g > g$ or $g < 1/2$

-
$$R \succ L$$
 if $1 - g < g$ or $g > 1/2$

-
$$L \sim R$$
 if $1 - g = g$ or $g = 1/2$

• Plot best response for kicker

• Plot best response for goalie

NI I		 	
Nash	Equi	brium	IS:

- fixed point of best response correspondence

- crossing of best response correspondences

3 Oligopoly: Cournot

- Nicholson, Ch. 14, pp. 418–419, 421–422 [OLD: p. 531, 534–535].
- Back to oligopoly maximization problem
- Assume 2 firms, cost $c_i(y_i) = cy_i$, i = 1, 2
- ullet Firms choose simultaneously quantity y_i
- Firm *i* maximizes:

$$\max_{y_i} p(y_i + y_{-i}) y_i - cy_i.$$

• First order condition with respect to y_i :

$$p_Y'(y_i^* + y_{-i}^*)y_i^* + p - c = 0, i = 1, 2.$$

- Nash equilibrium:
 - y_1 optimal given y_2 ;
 - y_2 optimal given y_1 .
- Solve equations:

$$p_Y'\left(y_1^*+y_2^*\right)y_1^*+p-c=\mathbf{0} \text{ and}$$

$$p_Y'\left(y_2^*+y_1^*\right)y_2^*+p-c=\mathbf{0}.$$

Cournot -> Pricing above marginal cost

4 Oligopoly: Bertrand

- Previously, we assumed firms choose quantities
- Now, assume firms first choose prices, and then produce quantity demanded by market
- 2 firms

• Profits:

$$\pi_{i}(p_{i}, p_{-i}) = \begin{cases} (p_{i} - c) Y(p_{i}) & \text{if } p_{i} < p_{-i} \\ (p_{i} - c) Y(p_{i}) / 2 & \text{if } p_{i} = p_{-i} \\ 0 & \text{if } p_{i} > p_{-i} \end{cases}$$

ullet First show that $p_1=c=p_2$ is Nash Equilibrium

• Does any firm have a (strict) incentive to deviate?

• Show that this equilibrium is unique

• Case 1. $p_1 > p_2 > c$

• Case 2. $p_1 = p_2 > c$

• Case 3. $p_1 > c \ge p_2$

• Case 4. $c > p_1 \ge p_2$

• Case 5. $p_1 = c > p_2$

• Case 6. $p_1 = c = p_2$

• It is unique!

• Marginal cost pricing • Two firms are enough to guarantee perfect competition! • Price wars

5 Next lecture

- Dynamic games
- Stackelberg duopoly
- Auctions