

Economics 101A

(Lecture 13)

Stefano DellaVigna

October 13, 2005

Outline

1. Mid-Term Suggestions
2. Nobel Prize winners
3. Risk Aversion and Lottery
4. Insurance
5. Investment in Risky Asset
6. Measures of Risk Aversion

1 Mid-Term Suggestions

- Suggestions from you...

2 Nobel Prize winners

- Robert Aumann (Hebrew University)
- Thomas Schelling (University of Maryland)
- Game Theory
- (Coming in third part of course...)
- Repeated Games and Applications to Conflict

3 Risk Aversion and Lottery

- Are you risk-averse?
- Let's see...

4 Insurance

- Nicholson, Ch. 18, pp. 545–551 [OLD: Ch. 8, pp. 211-216] Notice: different treatment than in class
- Individual has:
 - wealth w
 - utility function u , with $u' > 0$, $u'' < 0$
- Probability p of accident with loss L
- Insurance offers coverage:
 - premium $\$q$ for each $\$1$ paid in case of accident
 - units of coverage purchased α

- Individual maximization:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha} & (1-p)u(w-q\alpha) + pu(w-q\alpha-L+\alpha) \\ \text{s.t.} & \alpha \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Assume $\alpha^* \geq 0$, check later

- First order conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & -q(1-p)u'(w-q\alpha) \\ & + (1-q)pu'(w-q\alpha-L+\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{u'(w-q\alpha)}{u'(w-q\alpha-L+\alpha)} = \frac{1-q}{q} \frac{p}{1-p}$$

- Assume first $q = p$ (insurance is fair)

- Solution for $\alpha^* = ?$

- $\alpha^* > 0$, so we are ok!
- What if $q > p$ (insurance needs to cover operating costs)?
- Insurance will be only partial (if at all)
- Exercise: Check second order conditions!

5 Investment in Risk Asset

- Individual has:
 - wealth w
 - utility function u , with $u' > 0$
- Two possible investments:
 - Asset B (bond) yields return 1 for each dollar
 - Asset S (stock) yields uncertain return $(1 + r)$:
 - * $r = r_+ > 0$ with probability p
 - * $r = r_- < 0$ with probability $1 - p$
 - * $Er = pr_+ + (1 - p)r_- > 0$
- Share of wealth invested in stock $S = \alpha$

- Individual maximization:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\alpha} (1 - p) u(w [(1 - \alpha) + \alpha (1 + r_-)]) + \\ & + pu(w [(1 - \alpha) + \alpha (1 + r_+)]) \\ & s.t. 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

- Case of risk neutrality: $u(x) = a + bx, b > 0$

- Assume $a = 0$ (no loss of generality)

- Maximization becomes

$$\max_{\alpha} b(1 - p)(w [1 + \alpha r_-]) + bp(w [1 + \alpha r_+])$$

or

$$\max_{\alpha} bw + \alpha bw [(1 - p) r_- + pr_+]$$

- Sign of term in square brackets? Positive!

- Set $\alpha^* = 1$

- Case of risk aversion: $u'' < 0$
- Assume $0 \leq \alpha^* \leq 1$, check later

- First order conditions:

$$0 = (1 - p)(wr_-)u'(w[1 + \alpha r_-]) + p(wr_+)u'(w[1 + \alpha r_+])$$

- Can $\alpha^* = 0$ be solution?
- Solution is $\alpha^* > 0$ (positive investment in stock)
- Exercise: Check s.o.c.

6 Measures of Risk Aversion

- Nicholson, Ch. 18, pp. 541–545 [OLD: Ch. 8, pp. 207–210].

- How risk averse is an individual?

- Two measures:

- Absolute Risk Aversion r_A :

$$r_A = -\frac{u''(x)}{u'(x)}$$

- Relative Risk Aversion r_R :

$$r_R = -\frac{u''(x)}{u'(x)}x$$

- Examples in the Problem Set

7 Next lecture and beyond

- Tu:
 - Time consistency
 - Time Inconsistency
 - Application to health clubs

- Then:
 - Begin Production
 - Returns to scale
 - Cost minimization