

# Economics 101A

## (Lecture 24)

Stefano DellaVigna

November 28, 2005

## Outline

1. Game Theory II
2. Dynamic Games
3. Oligopoly: Stackelberg

# 1 Game Theory II

- Penalty kick in soccer (matching pennies)

Kicker \ Goalie	L	R
L	0, 1	1, 0
R	1, 0	0, 1

- Equilibrium always exists in mixed strategies  $\sigma$

- Mixed strategy: allow for probability distribution.

- Back to penalty kick:

- Kicker kicks left with probability  $k$
- Goalie kicks left with probability  $g$

- utility for kicker of playing  $L$  :

$$\begin{aligned}U_K(L, \sigma) &= gU_K(L, L) + (1 - g)U_K(L, R) \\ &= (1 - g)\end{aligned}$$

- utility for kicker of playing  $R$  :

$$\begin{aligned}U_K(R, \sigma) &= gU_K(R, L) + (1 - g)U_K(R, R) \\ &= g\end{aligned}$$

- Optimum?

- $L \succ R$  if  $1 - g > g$  or  $g < 1/2$

- $R \succ L$  if  $1 - g < g$  or  $g > 1/2$

- $L \sim R$  if  $1 - g = g$  or  $g = 1/2$

- Plot best response for kicker

- Plot best response for goalie

- Nash Equilibrium is:
  - fixed point of best response correspondence
  - crossing of best response correspondences

## 2 Dynamic Games

- Nicholson, Ch. 15, pp. 449–454.[OLD: Ch. 10, pp. 256–259]
- Dynamic games: one player plays after the other
- Decision trees
  - Decision nodes
  - Strategy is a plan of action at each decision node

- Example: battle of the sexes game

She \ He	Ballet	Football
Ballet	2, 1	0, 0
Football	0, 0	1, 2

- Dynamic version: she plays first



- **Subgame-perfect equilibrium.** At each node of the tree, the player chooses the strategy with the highest payoff, given the other players' strategy
- Backward induction. Find optimal action in last period and then work backward
- Solution

- Example 2: Entry Game

1 \ 2	Enter	Do not Enter
Enter	-1, -1	10, 0
Do not Enter	0, 5	0, 0

- Exercise. Dynamic version.

- Coordination games solved if one player plays first

- Can use this to study finitely repeated games
- Suppose we play the prisoner's dilemma game ten times.

$1 \setminus 2$	$D$	$ND$
$D$	$-4, -4$	$-1, -5$
$ND$	$-5, -1$	$-2, -2$

- What is the subgame perfect equilibrium?

### 3 Oligopoly: Stackelberg

- Setting as in problem set.
- 2 Firms
- Cost:  $c(y) = cy$ , with  $c > 0$
- Demand:  $p(Y) = a - bY$ , with  $a > c > 0$  and  $b > 0$
- Difference: Firm 1 makes the quantity decision first
- Use subgame perfect equilibrium

- Solution:
- Solve first for Firm 2 decision as function of Firm 1 decision:

$$\max_{y_2} (a - by_2 - by_1^*) y_2 - cy_2$$

- F.o.c.:

$$a - 2by_2^* - by_1^* - c = 0$$

or

$$y_2^* = \frac{a - c}{2b} - \frac{y_1^*}{2}.$$

$$p_D^* = a - bY_D^* = a - b \left( 2 \frac{a - c}{3b} \right) = \frac{1}{3}a + \frac{2}{3}c.$$

- Firm 1 takes this response into account in the maximization:

$$\max_{y_1} (a - by_1 - by_2^*(y_1)) y_1 - cy_1$$

or

$$\max_{y_1} \left( a - by_1 - b \left( \frac{a - c}{2b} - \frac{y_1}{2} \right) \right) y_1 - cy_1$$

- F.o.c.:

$$a - 2by_1 - \frac{(a - c)}{2} + by_1 - c = 0$$

or

$$y_1^* = \frac{a - c}{2b}$$

and

$$y_2^* = \frac{a - c}{2b} - \frac{y_1^*}{2} = \frac{a - c}{2b} - \frac{a - c}{4b} = \frac{a - c}{4b}.$$

- Total production:

$$Y_D^* = y_1^* + y_2^* = 3 \frac{a - c}{4b}$$

- Price equals

$$p^* = a - b \left( \frac{3a - c}{4b} \right) = \frac{1}{4}a + \frac{3}{4}c$$

- Compare to monopoly:

$$y_M^* = \frac{a - c}{2b}$$

and

$$p_M^* = \frac{a + c}{2}.$$

- Compare to Cournot:

$$Y_D^* = y_1^* + y_2^* = 2 \frac{a - c}{3b}$$

and

$$p_D^* = \frac{1}{3}a + \frac{2}{3}c.$$

- Figure

- Compare with Cournot outcome



## 4 Next lecture

- General equilibrium
- Edgeworth Box