# Economics 101A (Lecture 2)

Stefano DellaVigna

September 1, 2009

### Outline

- 1. Optimization with 1 variable
- 2. Multivariate optimization
- 3. Comparative Statics
- 4. Implicit function theorem

# **1** Optimization with 1 variable

- Nicholson, Ch.2, pp. 20-23 (20-24, 9th Ed)
- Example. Function  $y = -x^2$
- What is the maximum?

- Maximum is at 0
- General method?

- Sure! Use derivatives
- Derivative is slope of the function at a point:

$$\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

• Necessary condition for maximum  $x^*$  is

$$\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{1}$$

• Try with  $y = -x^2$ .

• 
$$\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} = 0 \Longrightarrow x^* =$$

- Does this guarantee a maximum? No!
- Consider the function  $y = x^3$

• 
$$\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} = 0 \Longrightarrow x^* =$$

• Plot 
$$y = x^3$$
.

• Sufficient condition for a (local) maximum:

$$\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ and } \left. \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial^2 x} \right|_{x^*} < 0 \qquad (2)$$

- Proof: At a maximum,  $f(x^* + h) f(x^*) < 0$  for all h.
- Taylor Rule:  $f(x^*+h) f(x^*) = \frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x}h + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f(x^*)}{\partial^2 x}h^2 + higher order terms.$

• Notice: 
$$\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x} = 0.$$

• 
$$f(x^* + h) - f(x^*) < 0$$
 for all  $h \Longrightarrow \frac{\partial^2 f(x^*)}{\partial^2 x} h^2 < 0$   
 $0 \Longrightarrow \frac{\partial^2 f(x^*)}{\partial^2 x} < 0$ 

• Careful: Maximum may not exist:  $y = \exp(x)$ 

• Tricky examples:

– Minimum. 
$$y = x^2$$

- No maximum. 
$$y = \exp(x)$$
 for  $x \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ 

- Corner solution. 
$$y = x$$
 for  $x \in [0, 1]$ 

## 2 Multivariate optimization

- Nicholson, Ch.2, pp. 23-30 (24-32, 9th Ed)
- Function from  $R^n$  to R:  $y = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$
- Partial derivative with respect to  $x_i$ :

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\frac{\partial f(x_1, ..., x_n)}{\partial x_i}}{h}$$

- Slope along dimension i
- Total differential:

$$df = \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_2} dx_2 + \dots + \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_n} dx_n$$

• One important economic example

- Example 1: Partial derivatives of  $y = f(L, K) = L^{.5}K^{.5}$
- $f'_L =$  (marginal productivity of labor)
- $f'_K =$  (marginal productivity of capital)

• 
$$f_{L,K}'' =$$

Maximization over an open set (like R)

• Necessary condition for maximum  $x^*$  is

$$\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_i} = \mathbf{0} \ \forall i \tag{3}$$

or in vectorial form

$$\nabla f(x) = 0$$

• These are commonly referred to as first order conditions (f.o.c.)

• Sufficient conditions? Define Hessian matrix *H*:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} f_{x_1,x_1}'' & f_{x_1,x_2}'' & \dots & f_{x_1,x_n}'' \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_{x_n,x_1}'' & f_{x_n,x_2}'' & \dots & f_{x_n,x_n}'' \end{pmatrix}$$

- Subdeterminant |H|<sub>i</sub> of Matrix H is defined as the determinant of submatrix formed by first i rows and first i columns of matrix H.
- Examples.

-  $|H|_1$  is determinant of  $f''_{x_1,x_1}$ , that is,  $f''_{x_1,x_1}$ -  $|H|_2$  is determinant of  $H = \begin{pmatrix} f''_{x_1,x_1} & f''_{x_1,x_2} \\ f''_{x_2,x_1} & f''_{x_2,x_2} \end{pmatrix}$ 

- Sufficient condition for maximum  $x^*$ .
  - 1.  $x^*$  must satisy first order conditions;
  - 2. Subdeterminants of matrix H must have alternating signs, with subdeterminant of  $H_1$  negative.

- Case with n = 2
- Condition 2 reduces to  $f_{x_1,x_1}'' < 0$  and  $f_{x_1,x_1}'' f_{x_2,x_2}' (f_{x_1,x_2}'')^2 > 0$ .

- Example 2:  $h(x_1, x_2) = p_1 * x_1^2 + p_2 * x_2^2 2x_1 5x_2$
- First order condition w/ respect to  $x_1$ ?
- First order condition w/ respect to  $x_2$ ?
- $x_1^*, x_2^* =$
- For which  $p_1, p_2$  is it a maximum?
- For which  $p_1, p_2$  is it a minimum?

# **3** Comparative statics

- Economics is all about 'comparative statics'
- What happens to optimal economic choices if we change one parameter?
- Example: Car production. Consumer:
  - 1. Car purchase and increase in oil price
  - 2. Car purchase and increase in income
- Producer:
  - 1. Car production and minimum wage increase
  - 2. Car production and decrease in tariff on Japanese cars
- Next two sections

## 4 Implicit function theorem

- Implicit function: Ch. 2, pp. 31-32 (32-33, 9th Ed)
- Consider function  $x_2 = g(x_1, p)$
- Can rewrite as  $x_2 g(x_1, p) = 0$
- Implicit function has form:  $h(x_2, x_1, p) = 0$
- Often we need to go from implicit to explicit function

- Example 3:  $1 x_1 * x_2 e^{x_2} = 0$ .
- Write  $x_1$  as function of  $x_2$ :
- Write  $x_2$  as function of  $x_1$ :

- Univariate implicit function theorem (Dini): Consider an equation f(p, x) = 0, and a point (p<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>0</sub>) solution of the equation. Assume:
  - 1. f continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $(p_0, x_0)$ ;
  - 2.  $f'_x(p_0, x_0) \neq 0$ .
- Then:
  - There is one and only function x = g(p) defined in a neighbourhood of p<sub>0</sub> that satisfies f(p, g(p)) = 0 and g(p<sub>0</sub>) = x<sub>0</sub>;
  - 2. The derivative of g(p) is

$$g'(p) = -\frac{f'_p(p, g(p))}{f'_x(p, g(p))}$$

- Example 3 (continued):  $1 x_1 * x_2 e^{x_2} = 0$
- Find derivative of x<sub>2</sub> = g(x<sub>1</sub>) implicitly defined for (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>) = (1,0)
- Assumptions:
  - 1. Satisfied?
  - 2. Satisfied?
- Compute derivative

- Multivariate implicit function theorem (Dini): Consider a set of equations (f<sub>1</sub>(p<sub>1</sub>,..., p<sub>n</sub>; x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>s</sub>) = 0; ...; f<sub>s</sub>(p<sub>1</sub>,..., p<sub>n</sub>; x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>s</sub>) = 0), and a point (p<sub>0</sub>,x<sub>0</sub>) solution of the equation. Assume:
  - 1.  $f_1, ..., f_s$  continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $(p_0, x_0)$ ;
  - 2. The following Jakobian matrix  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$  evaluated at  $(p_0, x_0)$  has determinant different from 0:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_s} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial xs} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### • Then:

- 1. There is one and only set of functions x = g(p)defined in a neighbourhood of  $p_0$  that satisfy f(p, g(p)) = 0 and  $g(p_0) = x_0$ ;
- 2. The partial derivative of  $x_i$  with respect to  $p_k$  is

$$\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial p_k} = -\frac{\det\left(\frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_s)}{\partial(x_1, \dots x_{i-1}, p_k, x_{i+1} \dots, x_s)}\right)}{\det\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right)}$$

- Example 2 (continued): Max  $h(x_1, x_2) = p_1 * x_1^2 + p_2 * x_2^2 2x_1 5x_2$
- f.o.c.  $x_1 : 2p_1 * x_1 2 = 0 = f_1(p,x)$
- f.o.c.  $x_2: 2p_2 * x_2 5 = 0 = f_2(p,x)$
- Comparative statics of  $x_1^*$  with respect to  $p_1$ ?
- First compute det  $\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$$

• Then compute det 
$$\left(\frac{\partial(f_1,...,f_s)}{\partial(x_1,...x_{i-1},p_k,x_{i+1}...,x_s)}\right)$$
  
 $\left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}\\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \end{array}\right)$ 

• Finally, 
$$\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial p_1} =$$

• Why did you compute det  $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)$  already?

# 5 Next Class

- Next class:
  - Envelope Theorem
  - Convexity and Concavity
  - Constrained Maximization
  - Envelope Theorem II

- Going toward:
  - Preferences
  - Utility Maximization (where we get to apply maximization techniques the first time)