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## 1 Utility Maximization

- Nicholson, Ch. 4, pp. 114-124 (94-105, 9th)
- $X=R_{+}^{2}$ (2 goods)
- Consumers: choose bundle $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ in $X$ which yields highest utility.
- Constraint: income $=M$
- Price of good $1=p_{1}$, price of good $2=p_{2}$
- Bundle $x$ is feasible if $p_{1} x_{1}+p_{2} x_{2} \leq M$
- Consumer maximizes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{x_{1}, x_{2}} u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& \text { s.t. } p_{1} x_{1}+p_{2} x_{2} \leq M \\
& x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Maximization subject to inequality. How do we solve that?
- Trick: $u$ strictly increasing in at least one dimension. ( $\succeq$ strictly monotonic)
- Budget constraint always satisfied with equality
- Ignore temporarily $x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0$ and check afterwards that they are satisfied for $x_{1}^{*}$ and $x_{2}^{*}$.


## - Problem becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{x_{1}, x_{2}} u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& \text { s.t. } p_{1} x_{1}+p_{2} x_{2}-M=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- $L\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-\lambda\left(p_{1} x_{1}+p_{2} x_{2}=M\right)$
- F.o.c.s:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{x_{i}}^{\prime}-\lambda p_{i} & =0 \text { for } i=1,2 \\
p_{1} x_{1}+p_{2} x_{2}-M & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Moving the two terms across and dividing, we get:

$$
M R S=-\frac{u_{x_{1}}^{\prime}}{u_{x_{2}}^{\prime}}=-\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}
$$

- Graphical interpretation.
- Second order conditions:

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -p_{1} & -p_{2} \\
-p_{1} & u_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}, x_{1} & u_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}, x_{2} \\
-p_{2} & u_{x_{2}, x_{1}}^{\prime \prime} & u_{x_{2}, x_{2}}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|H|= & p_{1}\left(-p_{1} u_{x_{2}, x_{2}}^{\prime \prime}+p_{2} u_{x_{2}, x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& -p_{2}\left(-p_{1} u_{x_{1}, x_{2}}^{\prime \prime}+p_{2} u_{x_{1}, x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
= & -p_{1}^{2} u_{x_{2}, x_{2}}^{\prime \prime}+2 p_{1} p_{2} u_{x_{1}, x_{2}}^{\prime \prime}-p_{2}^{2} u_{x_{1}, x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Notice: $u_{x_{2}, x_{2}}^{\prime \prime}<0$ and $u_{x_{1}, x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}<0$ usually satisfied (but check it!).
- Condition $u_{x_{1}, x_{2}}^{\prime \prime}>0$ is then sufficient
- Example with CES utility function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{x_{1}, x_{2}}\left(\alpha x_{1}^{\rho}+\beta x_{2}^{\rho}\right)^{1 / \rho} \\
& \text { s.t. } p_{1} x_{1}+p_{2} x_{2}-M=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Lagrangean $=$
- F.o.c.:
- Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{*}=\frac{M}{p_{1}\left(1+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}\left(\frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}\right)} \\
& x_{2}^{*}=\frac{M}{p_{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Special case 1: $\rho=0$ (Cobb-Douglas)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}^{*} & =\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \frac{M}{p_{1}} \\
x_{2}^{*} & =\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \frac{M}{p_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Special case 1: $\rho \rightarrow 1$ (Perfect Substitutes)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \text { if } & p_{1} / p_{2} \geq \alpha / \beta \\
M / p_{1} & \text { if } & p_{1} / p_{2}<\alpha / \beta
\end{array}\right. \\
& x_{2}^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
M / p_{2} & \text { if } & p_{1} / p_{2} \geq \alpha / \beta \\
0 & \text { if } & p_{1} / p_{2}<\alpha / \beta
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

- Special case 1: $\rho \rightarrow-\infty$ (Perfect Complements)

$$
x_{1}^{*}=\frac{M}{p_{1}+p_{2}}=x_{2}^{*}
$$

- Parameter $\rho$ indicates substition pattern between goods:
- $\rho>0$-> Goods are (net) substitutes
- $\rho<0->$ Goods are (net) complements


## 2 Utility maximization - tricky cases

1. Non-convex preferences. Example:
2. Example with CES utility function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{x_{1}, x_{2}}\left(\alpha x_{1}^{\rho}+\beta x_{2}^{\rho}\right)^{1 / \rho} \\
& \text { s.t. } p_{1} x_{1}+p_{2} x_{2}-M=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- With $\rho>1$ the interior solution is a minimum!
- Draw indifference curves for $\rho=1$ (boundary case) and $\rho=2$
- Can also check using second order conditions

2. Solution does not satisfy $x_{1}^{*}>0$ or $x_{2}^{*}>0$. Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max x_{1} *\left(x_{2}+5\right) \\
& \text { s.t. } p_{1} x_{1}+p_{2} x_{2}=M
\end{aligned}
$$

- In this case consider corner conditions: what happens for $x_{1}^{*}=0$ ? And $x_{2}^{*}=0$ ?

3. Multiplicity of solutions. Example:

- Convex preferences that are not strictly convex


## 3 Indirect utility function

- Nicholson, Ch. 4, pp. 124-127 (106-108, 9th)
- Define the indirect utility $v(\mathbf{p}, M) \equiv u\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(\mathbf{p}, M)\right)$, with $\mathbf{p}$ vector of prices and $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ vector of optimal solutions.
- $v(\mathbf{p}, M)$ is the utility at the optimimum for prices $\mathbf{p}$ and income $M$
- Some comparative statics: $\partial v(\mathbf{p}, M) / \partial M=$ ?
- Hint: Use Envelope Theorem on Lagrangean function
- What is the sign of $\lambda$ ?
- $\lambda=u_{x_{i}}^{\prime} / p>0$
- $\partial v(\mathbf{p}, M) / \partial p_{i}=?$
- Properties:
- Indirect utility is always increasing in income $M$
- Indirect utility is always decreasing in the price $p_{i}$

4 Next Class

- Comparative Statics:
- with respect to price
- with respect to income

