# Economics 101A (Lecture 3)

Stefano DellaVigna

January 27, 2009

#### Outline

- 1. Implicit Function Theorem II
- 2. Envelope Theorem
- 3. Convexity and concavity
- 4. Constrained Maximization

# 1 Implicit function theorem II

- Multivariate implicit function theorem (Dini): Consider a set of equations  $(f_1(p_1,...,p_n;x_1,...,x_s) = 0;...; f_s(p_1,...,p_n;x_1,...,x_s) = 0)$ , and a point  $(p_0,x_0)$  solution of the equation. Assume:
  - 1.  $f_1, ..., f_s$  continuous and differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $(p_0,x_0)$ ;
  - 2. The following Jakobian matrix  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$  evaluated at  $(p_0,x_0)$  has determinant different from 0:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_s} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial x_s} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### • Then:

- 1. There is one and only set of functions  $x = \mathbf{g}(p)$  defined in a neighbourhood of  $p_0$  that satisfy  $\mathbf{f}(p, \mathbf{g}(p)) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{g}(p_0) = x_0$ ;
- 2. The partial derivative of  $x_i$  with respect to  $p_k$  is

$$\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial p_k} = -\frac{\det\left(\frac{\partial (f_1, \dots, f_s)}{\partial (x_1, \dots x_{i-1}, p_k, x_{i+1} \dots, x_s)}\right)}{\det\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right)}$$

- Example 2 (continued): Max  $h(x_1, x_2) = p_1 * x_1^2 + p_2 * x_2^2 2x_1 5x_2$
- f.o.c.  $x_1: 2p_1 * x_1 2 = 0 = f_1(p,x)$
- f.o.c.  $x_2: 2p_2 * x_2 5 = 0 = f_2(p,x)$
- Comparative statics of  $x_1^*$  with respect to  $p_1$ ?
- First compute  $\det\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right)$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} \\
\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\end{pmatrix}$$

• Then compute  $\det\left(\frac{\partial(f_1,...,f_s)}{\partial(x_1,...x_{i-1},p_k,x_{i+1},...,x_s)}\right)$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

• Finally,  $\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial p_1} =$ 

• Why did you compute  $\det\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right)$  already?

## 2 Envelope Theorem

- Ch. 2, pp. 32-36 (33-37, 9th Ed)
- You now know how  $x_1^*$  varies if  $p_1$  varies.
- How does  $h(\mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{p}))$  vary as  $p_1$  varies?
- Differentiate  $h(x_1^*(p_1, p_2), x_2^*(p_1, p_2), p_1, p_2)$  with respect to  $p_1$ :

$$= \frac{dh(\mathbf{x}_{1}^{*}(p_{1}, p_{2}), \mathbf{x}_{2}^{*}(p_{1}, p_{2}), p_{1}, p_{2})}{dp_{1}}$$

$$= \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{p})}{\partial x_{1}} * \frac{\partial x_{1}^{*}(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{1}}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{p})}{\partial x_{2}} * \frac{\partial x_{2}^{*}(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{1}}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{1}}$$

• The first two terms are zero.

• Envelope Theorem for unconstrained maximization. Assume that you maximize function  $f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p})$  with respect to x. Consider then the function f at the optimum, that is,  $f(\mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{p}), \mathbf{p})$ . The total differential of this function with respect to  $p_i$  equals the partial derivative with respect to  $p_i$ :

$$\frac{df(\mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{p}), \mathbf{p})}{dp_i} = \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{p}), \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_i}.$$

You can disregard the indirect effects. Graphical intuition.

# 3 Convexity and concavity

ullet Function f from  $C\subset R^n$  to R is concave if

$$f(tx+(1-t)y) \geq tf(x)+(1-t)f(y)$$
 for all  $x,y \in C$  and for all  $t \in [0,1]$ 

- Notice: C must be convex set, i.e., if  $x \in C$  and  $y \in C$ , then  $tx + (1 t)y \in C$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$
- $\bullet$  Function f from  $C\subset R^n$  to R is strictly concave if

$$f(tx+(1-t)y)>tf(x)+(1-t)f(y)$$
 for all  $x,y\in C$  and for all  $t\in (0,1)$ 

• Function f from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is convex if -f is concave.

- Alternative characterization of convexity.
- A function f, twice differentiable, is concave if and only if **for all** x the subdeterminants  $|H_i|$  of the Hessian matrix have the property  $|H_1| \le 0, |H_2| \ge 0, |H_3| \le 0$ , and so on.
- ullet For the univariate case, this reduces to  $f'' \leq \mathbf{0}$  for all x
- For the bivariate case, this reduces to  $f''_{x,x} \leq 0$  and  $f''_{x,x} * f''_{y,y} \left(f''_{x,y}\right)^2 \geq 0$
- A twice-differentiable function is strictly concave if the same property holds with strict inequalities.

- Examples.
  - 1. For which values of a, b, and c is  $f(x) = ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d$  is the function concave over R? Strictly concave? Convex?
  - 2. Is  $f(x,y) = -x^2 y^2$  concave?
- For Example 2, compute the Hessian matrix

$$- f_x' = , f_y' =$$

$$-f_{x,x}'' = ,f_{x,y}'' =$$

$$-f_{y,x}'' = ,f_{y,y}'' =$$

- Hessian matrix H:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} f''_{x,x} = & f''_{x,y} = \\ f''_{y,x} = & f''_{y,y} = \end{pmatrix}$$

ullet Compute  $|H_1|=f_{x,x}''$  and  $|H_2|=f_{x,x}''***+f_{y,y}''-\left(f_{x,y}''\right)^2$ 

- Why are convexity and concavity important?
- Theorem. Consider a twice-differentiable concave (convex) function over  $C \subset R^n$ . If the point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  satisfies the fist order conditions, it is a global maximum (minimum).
- For the proof, we need to check that the secondorder conditions are satisfied.
- These conditions are satisfied by definition of concavity!
- (We have only proved that it is a local maximum)

#### 4 Constrained maximization

- Ch. 2, pp. 36-42 (38-44, 9th Ed)
- ullet So far unconstrained maximization on R (or open subsets)
- What if there are constraints to be satisfied?
- Example 1:  $\max_{x,y} x * y$  subject to 3x + y = 5
- Substitute it in:  $\max_{x,y} x * (5 3x)$
- Solution:  $x^* =$
- Example 2:  $\max_{x,y} xy$  subject to  $x \exp(y) + y \exp(x) = 5$
- Solution: ?

- Graphical intuition on general solution.
- Example 3:  $\max_{x,y} f(x,y) = x * y$  s.t.  $h(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 1 = 0$
- Draw  $0 = h(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 1$ .
- Draw x \* y = K with K > 0. Vary K
- Where is optimum?

- Where dy/dx along curve xy=K equals dy/dx along curve  $x^2+y^2-1=0$
- Write down these slopes.

- Idea: Use implicit function theorem.
- Heuristic solution of system

$$\max_{x,y} f(x,y)$$
 s.t.  $h(x,y) = 0$ 

- Assume:
  - continuity and differentiability of h

- 
$$h'_y \neq 0$$
 (or  $h'_x \neq 0$ )

• Implicit function Theorem: Express y as a function of x (or x as function of y)!

• Write system as  $\max_x f(x, g(x))$ 

• f.o.c.: 
$$f'_x(x,g(x)) + f'_y(x,g(x)) * \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x} = 0$$

• What is  $\frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x}$ ?

 $\bullet$  Substitute in and get:  $f_x'(x,g(x))+f_y'(x,g(x))* \\ (-h_x'/h_y')=0$  or

$$\frac{f'_x(x, g(x))}{f'_y(x, g(x))} = \frac{h'_x(x, g(x))}{h'_y(x, g(x))}$$

Lagrange Multiplier Theorem, necessary condition. Consider a problem of the type

$$\max_{x_1,...,x_n} f\left(x_1,x_2,...,x_n;\mathbf{p}
ight) \ ext{s.t.} egin{array}{l} h_1\left(x_1,x_2,...,x_n;\mathbf{p}
ight) = 0 \ h_2\left(x_1,x_2,...,x_n;\mathbf{p}
ight) = 0 \ ... \ h_m\left(x_1,x_2,...,x_n;\mathbf{p}
ight) = 0 \end{array}$$

with n > m. Let  $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{p})$  be a local solution to this problem.

#### • Assume:

- f and h differentiable at  $x^*$
- the following Jacobian matrix at  $\mathbf{x}^*$  has maximal rank

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \dots & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}^*) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \dots & \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}^*) \end{pmatrix}$$

• Then, there exists a vector  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_m)$  such that  $(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda)$  maximize the Lagrangean function

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p}) - \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda_j h_j(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p})$$

- Case n = 2, m = 1.
- First order conditions are

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p})}{\partial x_i} - \lambda \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p})}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

for i = 1, 2

• Rewrite as

$$\frac{f'_{x_1}}{f'_{x_2}} = \frac{h'_{x_1}}{h'_{x_2}}$$

# Constrained Maximization, Sufficient condition for the case n=2, m=1.

ullet If  $\mathbf{x}^*$  satisfies the Lagrangean condition, and the determinant of the bordered Hessian

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) & -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}^*) \\ -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial^2 x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) \\ -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}^*) \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive, then  $x^*$  is a constrained maximum.

- ullet If it is negative, then  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a constrained minimum.
- Why? This is just the Hessian of the Lagrangean L with respect to  $\lambda$ ,  $x_1$ , and  $x_2$

• Example 4:  $\max_{x,y} x^2 - xy + y^2$  s.t.  $x^2 + y^2 - p = 0$ 

• 
$$\max_{x,y,\lambda} x^2 - xy + y^2 - \lambda(x^2 + y^2 - p)$$

- F.o.c. with respect to x:
- F.o.c. with respect to *y*:
- F.o.c. with respect to  $\lambda$ :
- Candidates to solution?
- Maxima and minima?

### 5 Next Class

- Next class:
  - Preferences
  - Utility Maximization (where we get to apply maximization techniques the first time)