Economics 101A (Lecture 20)

Stefano DellaVigna

April 3, 2012

Outline

- 1. Game Theory
- 2. Oligopoly: Cournot
- 3. Oligopoly: Bertrand

1 Game Theory

- Nicholson, Ch. 8, pp. 236-252 (*better* than Ch. 15, pp. 440–449, 9th).
- Unfortunate name
- Game theory: study of decisions when payoff of player *i* depends on actions of player *j*.
- Brief history:
 - von Neuman and Morgenstern, Theory of Games and Economic Behavior (1944)
 - Nash, Non-cooperative Games (1951)
 - ...
 - Nobel Prize to Nash, Harsanyi (Berkeley), Selten (1994)

• Definitions:

– Players: 1, ..., I

– Strategy $s_i \in S_i$

– Payoffs: $U_i(s_i, s_{-i})$

• Example: Prisoner's Dilemma

$$-I=2$$

$$- s_i = \{D, ND\}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 \ \backslash \ 2 & D & ND \\ D & -4, -4 & -1, -5 \\ ND & -5, -1 & -2, -2 \end{array}$$

• What prediction?

• Maximize sum of payoffs?

- Choose dominant strategies
- Equilibrium in dominant stategies
- Strategies $s^* = \left(s^*_i, s^*_{-i}\right)$ are an Equilibrium in dominant stategies if

$$U_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}) \ge U_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

for all $s_i \in S_i$, for all $s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$ and all i = 1, ..., I

• Battle of the Sexes game:

$He \setminus She$	Ballet	Football
Ballet	2, 1	0, 0
Football	0, 0	1 , 2

- Choose dominant strategies? Do not exist
- Nash Equilibrium.
- Strategies $s^* = (s_i^*, s_{-i}^*)$ are a Nash Equilibrium if $U_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*) \ge U_i(s_i, s_{-i}^*)$ for all $s_i \in S_i$ and i = 1, ..., I

• Is Nash Equilibrium unique?

• Does it always exist?

• Penalty kick in soccer (matching pennies)

Kicker \setminus Goalie	L	R
L	0,1	1,0
R	1,0	0, 1

 $\bullet\,$ Equilibrium always exists in mixed strategies σ

• Mixed strategy: allow for probability distibution.

- Back to penalty kick:
 - Kicker kicks left with probability \boldsymbol{k}
 - Goalie kicks left with probability g

– utility for kicker of playing L :

$$egin{array}{rcl} U_K(L,\sigma) &=& g U_K(L,L) + (1-g) \, U_K(L,R) \ &=& (1-g) \end{array}$$

- utility for kicker of playing R:

$$U_K(R,\sigma) = gU_K(R,L) + (1-g)U_K(R,R)$$

= g

• Optimum?

-
$$L \succ R$$
 if $1 - g > g$ or $g < 1/2$
- $R \succ L$ if $1 - g < g$ or $g > 1/2$
- $L \sim R$ if $1 - g = g$ or $g = 1/2$

• Plot best response for kicker

• Plot best response for goalie

- Nash Equilibrium is:
 - fixed point of best response correspondence

- crossing of best response correspondences

2 Oligopoly: Cournot

- Nicholson, Ch. 14, pp. 524-530 (*better* than Ch. 14, pp. 418–419, 421–422, 9th)
- Back to oligopoly maximization problem
- Assume 2 firms, cost $c_i(y_i) = cy_i, i = 1, 2$
- Firms choose simultaneously quantity y_i
- Firm *i* maximizes:

$$\max_{y_i} p\left(y_i + y_{-i}\right) y_i - c y_i.$$

• First order condition with respect to y_i :

$$p_Y'\left(y_i^* + y_{-i}^*\right)y_i^* + p - c = 0, \ i = 1, 2.$$

- Nash equilibrium:
 - y_1 optimal given y_2 ;
 - y_2 optimal given y_1 .
- Solve equations:

$$p_Y^\prime \left(y_1^st + y_2^st
ight) y_1^st + p - c = {\sf 0}$$
 and $p_Y^\prime \left(y_2^st + y_1^st
ight) y_2^st + p - c = {\sf 0}.$

- Cournot -> Pricing above marginal cost
- Numerical example -> Problem set 5

3 Oligopoly: Bertrand

- Cournot oligopoly: firms choose quantities
- Bertrand oligopoly: firms first choose prices, and then produce quantity demanded by market
- Market demand function Y(p)
- 2 firms
- Profits:

$$\pi_{i}(p_{i}, p_{-i}) = \begin{cases} (p_{i} - c) Y(p_{i}) & \text{if } p_{i} < p_{-i} \\ (p_{i} - c) Y(p_{i}) / 2 & \text{if } p_{i} = p_{-i} \\ 0 & \text{if } p_{i} > p_{-i} \end{cases}$$

• First show that $p_1 = c = p_2$ is Nash Equilibrium

- Does any firm have a (strict) incentive to deviate?
- Check profits for Firm 1

• Symmetric argument for Firm 2

- Second, show that this equilibrium is unique.
- For each of the next 5 cases at least on firm has a profitable deviation
- Case 1. $p_1 > p_2 > c$

• Case 2. $p_1 = p_2 > c$

• Case 3. $p_1 > c \ge p_2$

• Case 4. $c > p_1 \ge p_2$

• Case 5. $p_1 = c > p_2$

- Only Case 6 remains: $p_1 = c = p_2$, which is Nash Equilibrium
- It is unique!

- Notice:
- To show that something is an equilibrium -> Show that there is *no* profitable deviation
- To show that something is *not* an equilibrium ->
 Show that there is *one* profitable deviation

- Surprising result of Bertrand Competition
- Marginal cost pricing

• Two firms are enough to guarantee perfect competition!

• Realistic? Price wars between PC makers

4 Next lecture

- Oligopoly: Bertrand
- Dynamic games
- Stackelberg duopoly
- Auctions