Economics 101A
(Lecture 23)

Stefano DellaVigna

April 17, 2012

Outline

1. General Equilibrium: Introduction

2. Edgeworth Box: Pure Exchange

3. Barter

4. Walrasian Equilibrium

1 General Equilibrium: Introduction

- So far, we looked at consumers
  - Demand for goods
  - Choice of leisure and work
  - Choice of risky activities

- We also looked at producers:
  - Production in perfectly competitive firm
  - Production in monopoly
  - Production in oligopoly

- We also combined consumers and producers:
  - Supply
  - Demand
  - Market equilibrium

- Partial equilibrium: one good at a time

- General equilibrium: Demand and supply for all goods!
  - supply of young worker$\uparrow \Longrightarrow$ wage of experienced workers?
  - minimum wage$\uparrow \Longrightarrow$ effect on higher earners?
  - steel tariff$\uparrow \Longrightarrow$ effect on car price

2 Edgeworth Box: Pure Exchange

- Nicholson, Ch. 13, pp. 441-444, 476-478 (Ch. 12, pp. 335–338, 369–370, 9th)

- 2 consumers in economy: $i = 1, 2$

- 2 goods, x_1, x_2

- Endowment of consumer i, good j: ω^i_j

- Total endowment: $(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (\omega^1_1 + \omega^2_1, \omega^1_2 + \omega^2_2)$

- No production here. With production (as in book), (ω_1, ω_2) are optimally produced

- Edgeworth box
- Draw preferences of agent 1
- Draw preferences of agent 2

- Consumption of consumer i, good j: x_j^i

- Feasible consumption:

$$x_i^1 + x_i^2 \leq \omega_i \text{ for all } i$$

- If preferences monotonic, $x_i^1 + x_i^2 = \omega_i$ for all i

- Can map consumption levels into box

3 Barter

- Consumers can trade goods 1 and 2

- Allocation $\left((x_1^{1*}, x_2^{1*}), (x_1^{2*}, x_2^{2*})\right)$ can be outcome of barter if:

- **Individual rationality.**

$$u_i(x_1^{i*}, x_2^{i*}) \geq u_i(\omega_1^i, \omega_2^i) \text{ for all } i$$

- **Pareto Efficiency.** There is no allocation $\left((\hat{x}_1^1, \hat{x}_2^1), (\hat{x}_1^2, \hat{x}_2^2)\right)$ such that

$$u_i(\hat{x}_1^i, \hat{x}_2^i) \geq u_i(x_1^{i*}, x_2^{i*}) \text{ for all } i$$

with strict inequality for at least one agent.

- Barter outcomes in Edgeworth box

- Endowments (ω_1, ω_2)

- Area that satisfies individual rationality condition

- Points that satisfy pareto efficiency

- **Pareto set.** Set of points where indifference curves are tangent

- **Contract curve.** Subset of Pareto set inside the individually rational area.

- Contract curve = Set of barter equilibria

- Multiple equilibria. Depends on bargaining power.

- Bargaining is time- and information-intensive procedure

- What if there are prices instead?

4 Walrasian Equilibrium

- Prices p_1, p_2

- Consumer 1 faces a budget set:

$$p_1 x_1^1 + p_2 x_2^1 \leq p_1 \omega_1^1 + p_2 \omega_2^1$$

- How about consumer 2?

- Budget set of consumer 2:

$$p_1 x_1^2 + p_2 x_2^2 \leq p_1 \omega_1^2 + p_2 \omega_2^2$$

or (assuming $x_i^1 + x_i^2 = \omega_i$)

$$p_1(\omega_1 - x_1^1) + p_2 \left(\omega_2 - x_2^1\right) \leq p_1 \left(\omega_1 - \omega_1^1\right) + p_2 \left(\omega_2 - \omega_2^1\right)$$

or

$$p_1 x_1^1 + p_2 x_2^1 \geq p_1 \omega_1^1 + p_2 \omega_2^1$$

- **Walrasian Equilibrium.** $\left((x_1^{1*}, x_2^{1*}), (x_1^{2*}, x_2^{2*}), p_1^*, p_2^*\right)$ is a Walrasian Equilibrium if:

  – Each consumer maximizes utility subject to budget constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1^{i*}, x_2^{i*}) &= \arg\max_{x_1^i, x_2^i} u_i\left((x_1^i, x_2^i\right) \\ s.t.\ p_1^* x_1^i + p_2^* x_2^i &\leq p_1^* \omega_1^i + p_2^* \omega_2^i \end{aligned}$$

  – All markets clear:

$$x_j^{1*} + x_j^{2*} \leq \omega_j^1 + \omega_j^2 \text{ for all } j.$$

- Compare with partial (Marshallian) equilibrium:
  - each consumer maximizes utility
  - market for good i clears.
  - (no requirement that all markets clear)

- How do we find the Walrasian Equilibria?

- **Graphical method.**

  1. Compute first for each consumer set of utility-maximizing points as function of prices

  2. Check that market-clearing condition holds

- *Step 1.* Compute optimal points as prices p_1 and p_2 vary

- Start with Consumer 1. Find points of tangency between budget sets and indifference curves

- Figure

- **Offer curve** for consumer 1:

$$\left(x_1^{1*}\left(p_1, p_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2)\right), x_2^{1*}\left(p_1, p_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2)\right)\right)$$

- Offer curve is set of points that maximize utility as function of prices p_1 and p_2.

- Then find offer curve for consumer 2:

$$\left(x_1^{2*}\left(p_1, p_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2)\right), x_2^{2*}\left(p_1, p_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2)\right)\right)$$

- Figure

- *Step 2.* Find intersection(s) of two offer curves

- Walrasian Equilibrium is intersection of the two offer curves!
  - Both individuals maximize utility given prices
  - Total quantity demanded equals total endowment

- Relate Walrasian Equilibrium to barter equilbrium.

- Walrasian Equilibrium is a subset of barter equilibrium:
  - Does WE satisfy Individual Rationality condition?
  - Does WE satisfy the Pareto Efficiency condition?

- Walrasian Equilibrium therefore picks one (or more) point(s) on contract curve.

5 Next lecture

- Example of Walrasian Equilibrium
- Theorems on welfare