# Economics 101A (Lecture 9)

Stefano DellaVigna

February 19, 2008

#### Outline

- 1. Expenditure Minimization II
- 2. Slutsky Equation
- 3. Complements and substitutes
- 4. Do utility functions exist?

#### 1 Expenditure minimization II

- Nicholson, Ch. 4, pp. 127-132 (109–113, 9th) +
   Ch. 5, pp. 151-154
- Solve problem **EMIN** (minimize expenditure):

$$\min p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2$$
  
 $s.t. \ u(x_1, x_2) \ge \bar{u}$ 

• Pick budget set which is tangent to indifference curve

- Optimum coincides with optimum of Utility Maximization!
- Formally:

$$h_i(p_1, p_2, \bar{u}) = x_i^*(p_1, p_2, e(p_1, p_2, \bar{u}))$$

- Expenditure function is expenditure at optimum
- $e(p_1, p_2, \bar{u}) = p_1 h_1(p_1, p_2, \bar{u}) + p_2 h_2(p_1, p_2, \bar{u})$

- $h_i(p_i)$  is Hicksian or compensated demand function
- Is  $h_i$  always decreasing in  $p_i$ ? Yes!
- Graphical proof: moving along a convex indifference curve

• (For non-convex indifferent curves, still true)

• Using first order conditions:

$$L(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 - \lambda (u(x_1, x_2) - \bar{u})$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = p_i - \lambda u_i'(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

• Write as ratios:

$$\frac{u_1'(x_1, x_2)}{u_2'(x_1, x_2)} = \frac{p_1}{p_2}$$

- $\bullet$  MRS = ratio of prices as in utility maximization!
- ullet However: different constraint  $\Longrightarrow \lambda$  is different

• Example 1: Cobb-Douglas utility

$$\min p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2$$
  
 $s.t. \ x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{1-\alpha} \ge \bar{u}$ 

- Lagrangean =
- F.o.c.:

• Solution:  $h_1^* =$ 

$$, h_2^* =$$

•  $\partial h_i^*/\partial p_i < 0$ ,  $\partial h_i^*/\partial p_j > 0$ ,  $j \neq i$ 

## 2 Slutsky Equation

- Nicholson, Ch. 5, pp. 155-158 (135-138, 9th)
- ullet Now: go back to Utility Max. in case where  $p_2$  increases to  $p_2'>p_2$
- What is  $\partial x_2^*/\partial p_2$ ? Decompose effect:
  - 1. Substitution effect of an increase in  $p_i$ 
    - $\partial h_2^*/\partial p_2$ , that is change in EMIN point as  $p_2$  descreases
    - Moving along an indifference curve
    - Certainly  $\partial h_2^*/\partial p_2 < 0$

- 2. Income effect of an increase in  $p_i$ 
  - $\partial x_2^*/\partial M$ , increase in consumption of good 2 due to increased income
  - Shift out a budget line
  - $\partial x_2^*/\partial M>$  0 for normal goods,  $\partial x_2^*/\partial M<$  0 for inferior goods

• 
$$h_i(p_1, p_2, \bar{u}) = x_i^*(p_1, p_2, e(p_1, p_2, \bar{u}))$$

ullet How does the Hicksian demand change if price  $p_i$  changes?

$$\frac{dh_i}{dp_i} = \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, e)}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, e)}{\partial M} \frac{\partial e(\mathbf{p}, \bar{u})}{\partial p_i}$$

• What is  $\frac{\partial e(\mathbf{p},\bar{u})}{\partial p_i}$ ? Envelope theorem:

$$\frac{\partial e(\mathbf{p}, \bar{u})}{\partial p_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} [p_1 h_1^* + p_2 h_2^* - \lambda (u(h_1^*, h_2^*, \bar{u}) - \bar{u})]$$

$$= h_i^*(p_1, p_2, \bar{u}) = x_i^*(p_1, p_2, e(p, \bar{u}))$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial h_i(\mathbf{p}, \bar{u})}{\partial p_i} = \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, e)}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, e)}{\partial M} x_1^*(p_1, p_2, e)$$

• Rewrite as

$$\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_i} = \frac{\partial h_i(\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_i}$$
$$-x_1^*(p_1, p_2, M) \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial M}$$

Important result! Allows decomposition into substitution and income effect

- Two effects of change in price:
  - 1. Substitution effect negative:  $\frac{\partial h_i(\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_i} < 0$

- 2. Income effect:  $-x_1^*(p_1, p_2, M) \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial M}$ 
  - negative if good i is normal  $(\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial M} > 0)$
  - positive if good i is inferior  $(\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial M} < \mathbf{0})$
- Overall, sign of  $\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_i}$ ?
  - negative if good i is normal
  - it depends if good i is inferior

- Example 1 (ctd.): Cobb-Douglas. Apply Slutsky equation
- $x_i^* = \alpha M/p_i$
- $h_i^* =$

• Derivative of Hicksian demand with respect to price:

$$rac{\partial h_i\left(\mathbf{p},\overline{u}
ight)}{\partial p_i} =$$

- Rewrite  $h_i^*$  as function of m:  $h_i(\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))$
- Compute  $v(\mathbf{p}, M) =$

• Substitution effect:

$$\frac{\partial h_i(\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_i} =$$

• Income effect:

$$-x_i^*(p_1, p_2, M) \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial M} =$$

• Sum them up to get

$$\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_i} =$$

• It works!

## 3 Complements and substitutes

- Nicholson, Ch. 6, pp. 182-187 (161-166, 9th)
- How about if price of another good changes?
- Generalize Slutsky equation

• Slutsky Equation:

$$\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial h_i(\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_j}$$
$$-x_j^*(p_1, p_2, M) \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial M}$$

Substitution effect

$$\frac{\partial h_i(\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_j} > 0$$

for n=2 (two goods). Ambiguous for n>2.

• Income effect:

$$-x_j^*(p_1, p_2, M) \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial M}$$

- negative if good i is normal
- positive if good i is inferior

How do we define complements and substitutes?

Def. 1. Goods i and j are gross substitutes at price
 p and income M if

$$\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_i} > 0$$

• Def. 2. Goods i and j are **gross complements** at price  ${\bf p}$  and income M if

$$\frac{\partial x_i^* \left( \mathbf{p}, M \right)}{\partial p_j} < 0$$

- Example 1 (ctd.):  $x_1^* = \alpha M/p_1, x_2^* = \beta M/p_2.$
- Gross complements or gross substitutes? Neither!
- Notice:  $\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_j}$  is usually different from  $\frac{\partial x_j^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_i}$

- Better definition.
- Def. 3. Goods i and j are net substitutes at price
   p and income M if

$$\frac{\partial h_i^* (\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial h_j^* (\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_i} > 0$$

• Def. 4. Goods i and j are **net complements** at price  ${\bf p}$  and income M if

$$\frac{\partial h_i^* (\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial h_j^* (\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_i} < 0$$

- Example 1 (ctd.):  $h_1^* = \overline{u} \left( \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{p_2}{p_1} \right)^{1-\alpha}$
- Net complements or net substitutes? Net substitutes!

## 4 Do utility functions exist?

- Preferences and utilities are theoretical objects
- Many different ways to write them

- How do we tie them to the world?
- Use actual choices revealed preferences approach

•	Typical economists' approach. Compromise of:
	– realism
	<ul><li>simplicity</li></ul>
	Assume a class of utility functions (CES, Cobb-Douglas) with free parameters
•	Estimate the parameters using the data

#### **5** Next Lectures

- Applications:
  - Labor Supply
  - Intertemporal Choice
  - Economics of Altruism