

Econ 204 Summer 2009
Problem Set 1 Solutions

1. Cardinality

For each pair of set A and set B, show that A and B are numerically equivalent. (Hint: Show that there exists a bijection $f : A \rightarrow B$, i.e. f is one to one and onto.)

- (a) $A = (-1, 1)$ $B = (-\infty, +\infty)$
 (b) $A = [0, 1]$ $B = (0, 1)$
 (c) A is an infinite uncountable set, $B = A \cup C$ where C is an infinite countable set.

Solution:

(a) $f(x) = \tan \frac{\pi}{2}x, x \in (-1, 1)$

(b) $f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \frac{1}{n+2} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{n}, n = 1, 2, \dots, x \in [0, 1] \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

- (c) Since A is an infinite set, we can obtain an infinite sequence $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ from A . Let $A_1 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$. $A_1 \subseteq A$ and $A \setminus A_1 \neq \emptyset$ as A is uncountable.

There are three cases:

Case 1: $C \cap A_1 = \emptyset$

Since C is an infinite countable set, let $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots\}$.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } x = a_{2i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, \\ c_i & \text{if } x = a_{2i-1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, x \in A \\ x & \text{if } x \in A \setminus A_1 \end{cases}$$

Case 2: $C \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $C \setminus A_1$ is a finite set.

Let $C \setminus A_1 = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m\}$ where m is a natural number.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } x = a_{i+m}, i = 1, 2, \dots, \\ k_i & \text{if } x = a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, x \in A \\ x & \text{if } x \in A \setminus A_1 \end{cases}$$

Case 3: $C \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $C \setminus A_1$ is an infinite countable set.

Let $C \setminus A_1 = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } x = a_{2i}, i = 1, 2, \dots \\ s_i & \text{if } x = a_{2i-1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, x \in A \\ x & \text{if } x \in A \setminus A_1 \end{cases}$$

2. Induction

Using mathematical induction, show the following: $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

- (a) $\sum_{i=1}^n k^{-i} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{k^{n+1}}}{k-1}, k \neq 1$.
 (b) $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} (k-1)k^{-i} = k^{1-n}, k > 1$.
 (c) $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \geq \sqrt{n}$

Solution:

(a) For $n = 1, \frac{1}{k} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{k}}{k-1}$.

Suppose for $n = m, \sum_{i=1}^m k^{-i} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{k^{m+1}}}{k-1}$ holds.

$$\text{For } n = m + 1, \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} k^{-i} = \sum_{i=1}^m k^{-i} + \frac{1}{k^{m+1}} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{k^{m+1}}}{k-1} + \frac{k-1}{k^{m+1}(k-1)} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{k^{m+1}}}{k-1} + \frac{\frac{1}{k^{m+1}} - \frac{1}{k^{m+1}}}{k-1} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{k^{m+2}}}{k-1}.$$

- (b) For $n = 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (k-1)k^{-i} = (k-1) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k^{-i} = (k-1) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{k^n}}{k-1} = 1$
 Suppose for $n = m$, $\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (k-1)k^{-i} = k^{1-m}$ holds.
 For $n = m+1$, $\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} (k-1)k^{-i} = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (k-1)k^{-i} - (k-1)k^{-m} = \frac{k-(k-1)}{k^m} = k^{1-(m+1)}$.
- (c) For $n = 1$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \geq \sqrt{1}$.
 Suppose for $n = m$, $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \geq \sqrt{m}$ holds.
 For $n = m+1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \geq \sqrt{m} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}}$.
 Since $\sqrt{m+1} - \sqrt{m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1} + \sqrt{m}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}}$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \geq \sqrt{m+1}$.

3. Bijection

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a bijection, i.e. f is one to one and onto. Show that for any $A, B \subset X$, $f(A \cap B) = f(A) \cap f(B)$.

Solution:

For any $A, B \subset X$, if $y \in f(A \cap B)$, then there exists $x \in A \cap B$ such that $f(x) = y$, so $y \in f(A) \cap f(B)$. Hence $f(A \cap B) \subset f(A) \cap f(B)$

If $y \in f(A) \cap f(B)$, then there exists $a \in A$, $b \in B$ such that $f(a) = f(b) = y$. Since f is one to one, $a = b$, so $y \in f(A \cap B)$. Hence $f(A) \cap f(B) \subset f(A \cap B)$. So we have $f(A) \cap f(B) = f(A \cap B)$.

4. Supremum Property and Completeness Axiom

Use the Completeness Axiom to prove that every nonempty set of real numbers which is bounded below has an infimum.

Solution:

Assume the Completeness Axiom. Let $X \subset \mathbf{R}$ be a nonempty set which is bounded below. Let U be the set of all lower bounds for X . Since X is bounded below, $U \neq \emptyset$. If $x \in X$ and $u \in U$, $x \geq u$ since u is a lower bound for X . So for any $x \in X$, $u \in U$, $x \geq u$. By the Completeness Axiom, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, for any $x \in X$, $u \in U$, $x \geq \alpha \geq u$. Hence α is a lower bound for X , and it is larger than or equal to every other lower bound for X , so it is the largest lower bound for X , so $\inf X = \alpha \in \mathbf{R}$.

5. Limit of Decreasing Sequence

Show that every decreasing sequence of real numbers that is bounded below converges to its infimum. (Hint: you can directly use the result of question 4)

Solution:

Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of real numbers and assume it is bounded below. By the supremum property, $\{x_n\}$ has a infimum that is denoted as y . For some $\varepsilon > 0$, by the definition of infimum, $x_n \geq y$ for all n and $y + \varepsilon$ is not a lower bound of $\{x_n\}$, so there exists some $N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $x_{N(\varepsilon)} < y + \varepsilon$. Since $\{x_n\}$ is decreasing, we have $x_n < y + \varepsilon$ for all $n > N(\varepsilon)$ and $x_n \geq y$ for all n . Since ε is arbitrary, $\{x_n\} \rightarrow y$.

6. Metric Space

- (a) $\rho(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, prove whether or not it is a metric on \mathbf{R}^n .
- (b) $\rho(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|$, prove whether or not it is a metric on \mathbf{R}^n .
- (c) Suppose (S_1, d_1) and (S_2, d_2) are metric spaces. Show that $(S_1 \times S_2, \rho)$ is a metric space, where $\rho((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \max\{d_1(x_1, y_1), d_2(x_2, y_2)\}$ for all $x_1, y_1 \in S_1$ and all $x_2, y_2 \in S_2$.

Solution:

(a) To verify that d is a metric, we need to check that

(i) $\rho(x, x) = 0 \forall x$ (ii) $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x) \forall x, y$, and (iii) $\rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z) \leq \rho(x, z) \forall x, y, z$.

(i) and (ii) are easily verified. To verify (iii) there are essentially two cases to consider: $x = z$ or $x \neq z$.

Case I: Take $x \neq z$. Then, either $x \neq y$ or $y \neq z \Rightarrow \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z) \geq 1 = \rho(x, z)$.

Case II: Take $x = z$. Then, $\rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z) \geq 0 = \rho(x, z)$.

It follows that (iii) holds and ρ is a metric.

(b) We need to check that

(i) $\rho(x, x) = 0 \forall x$ (ii) $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x) \forall x, y$, and (iii) $\rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z) \leq \rho(x, z) \forall x, y, z$. (i) and (ii) are easily verified. To verify (iii)

$$\rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| + \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - z_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n (|x_i - y_i| + |y_i - z_i|) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - z_i| = \rho(x, z)$$

since $|x_i - y_i| + |y_i - z_i| \geq |x_i - y_i + y_i - z_i| = |x_i - z_i|$, (iii) holds and ρ is a metric.

(c) We need to check that

(i) $\rho((x_1, x_2), (x_1, x_2)) = 0 \forall (x_1, x_2) \in S_1 \times S_2$

(ii) $\rho((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \rho((y_1, y_2), (x_1, x_2)) \forall (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in S_1 \times S_2$

(iii) $\rho((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) + \rho((y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2)) \geq \rho((x_1, x_2), (z_1, z_2)) \forall (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in S_1 \times S_2$.

(i) and (ii) are easily verified. Our job is to verify (iii):

Since $d_i(x_i, y_i)$ is a well-defined metric, for $i = 1, 2$, we must have $d_i(x_i, z_i) \leq d_i(x_i, y_i) + d_i(y_i, z_i)$ for any $x_i, y_i, z_i \in S_i$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho((x_1, x_2), (z_1, z_2)) &= \max\{d_1(x_1, z_1), d_2(x_2, z_2)\} \\ &\leq \max\{d_1(x_1, y_1) + d_1(y_1, z_1), d_2(x_2, y_2) + d_2(y_2, z_2)\} \\ &\leq \max\{d_1(x_1, y_1), d_2(x_2, y_2)\} + \max\{d_1(y_1, z_1), d_2(y_2, z_2)\} * \\ &= \rho((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) + \rho((y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2)) \end{aligned}$$

* To prove this inequality is equal to show that $\max\{a + b, c + d\} \leq \max\{a, c\} + \max\{b, d\}$. WLOG, suppose that $a + b \geq c + d$. Hence $\max\{a + b, c + d\} = a + b$. Since $a \leq \max\{a, c\}$, $b \leq \max\{b, d\}$, $a + b \leq \max\{a, c\} + \max\{b, d\}$. Thus $\max\{a + b, c + d\} \leq \max\{a, c\} + \max\{b, d\}$.