

Economics 204
Problem Set 4 Solutions

Exercise 1

a) First note that S is a subset of \mathbf{R}^3 , which is a vector space (over \mathbf{R} , with the operations assumed). Hence, all we have to show is that 0 vector is contained in S and that $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$, and $x, y \in S$, we have $\alpha x + \beta y \in S$. But this is pretty obvious: *i*) take $c = 0$ to show that $0 \in S$; *ii*) if $x = c_1 v$ and $y = c_2 v$, then $\alpha x + \beta y = (\alpha c_1 + \beta c_2)v$ and if we let $c = \alpha c_1 + \beta c_2$ then it follows that $\alpha x + \beta y \in S$. The space is one dimensional, and $\{v\}$ is a basis for S .

b) Same argument applies here: *i*) 0 vector is obviously in S . *ii*) Now take $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ and $x, y \in S$; let $z := \alpha x + \beta y$, then $z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1) + (\alpha x_2 + \beta y_2) + (\alpha x_3 + \beta y_3) = \alpha(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + \beta(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) = \alpha 0 + \beta 0 = 0$; and $z_1 + 2z_2 = (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1) + 2(\alpha x_2 + \beta y_2) = (\alpha x_1 + 2\alpha x_2) + (\alpha y_1 + 2\beta y_2) = \alpha(x_1 + 2x_2) + \beta(y_1 + 2y_2) = 0$. The space is again one dimensional (Note that if we fix x_2 , then x_1 and x_3 are determined). $\{(1, -1, 0)\}$ is a basis for S .

c) S is not a vector space since it does not contain 0 vector.

d) not a vector space since not all additive inverses of continuous functions are in S .

Exercise 2

a) $x \in \text{Ker}(g) \Rightarrow g(x) = 0 \Rightarrow (f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x)) = f(0) = 0$ since f is a linear transformation. Thus $x \in \text{Ker}(f \circ g)$ and thus $\dim \text{Ker}(g) \leq \dim \text{Ker}(f \circ g)$. We have assumed that $\dim Z = \dim V = \dim W = n$. Since $\dim \text{Im}(h) + \dim \text{Ker}(h) = n$ for any linear transformation $h : Z \rightarrow U$ (U a vector space), we can conclude that $\dim \text{Im}(g) \geq \dim \text{Im}(f \circ g)$.

b) (\Rightarrow) Since f is a linear transformation, $f(0) = 0$ and since f is one to one, it follows that $\text{Ker}(f) = \{0\}$. (\Leftarrow) Suppose $f(x) = f(y)$, then we have that $f(x) - f(y) = 0$ and so $f(x - y) = 0$. But $\text{Ker}(f) = \{0\}$; thus we must have that $x = y$ and therefore f is one to one.

d) That composition of two linear maps is linear is shown in the R. Anderson's lecture notes. To prove that $f \circ g$ is one to one, suppose that $(f \circ g)(x)$

$= (f \circ g)(y)$ then $f(g(x)) = f(g(y))$. Since f is one to one, it follows that $g(x) = g(y)$, and since g is one to one we have $x = y$. To prove that $f \circ g$ is onto, let $y \in V$. since f is onto there is $x \in V$ such that $f(x) = y$ and since g is onto there is $z \in V$, such that $g(z) = x$. Hence given z , $(f \circ g)(z) = y$. Thus $f \circ g$ is an automorphism of V .

Exercise 3

a) Consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$; $Ae_1 = 0$ and $Ae_2 = -e_1$ where $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 1)$. Thus $a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{21} = 0$ and $a_{12} = -1$.

b) Projecting onto the x-axis followed by projection onto the y-axis maps every vector to 0. The matrix representing this transformation is the 0 matrix.

c) *i*) The transformation maps every vector $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3$ to $(x, y, 0)$. The matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

ii) The transformation maps every vector $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3$ to $(x, y, -z)$. The matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Exercise 4

$Ker(T)$ is the set of 2 by 2 matrices such that $b_{11} = b_{12}$, which is a three dimensional space with

$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ forming a basis for $Ker(T)$; finally $rank(T) = 1$ as $rank(T) + dimKer(T) = 4$. T is not one to one since $Ker(T)$ is non-trivial; T is not onto since $rank(T) = 1$ and not 4.

Exercise 5

$A^n = (P^{-1}BP)(P^{-1}BP)...(P^{-1}BP) = P^{-1}B(PP^{-1})B(PP^{-1})BP...P^{-1}BP = P^{-1}B^nP$. Since $Tr(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}b_{ji}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^n b_{ji}a_{ij}) = Tr(BA)$ and since B is diagonal, $Tr(A^n) = Tr(P^{-1}B^nP) = Tr((P^{-1}B^n)P) = Tr(P(P^{-1}B^n)) = Tr((PP^{-1})B^n) = Tr(B^n) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ii}^n$ and $Det(A^n) = \prod_{i=1}^m b_{ii}^n$ where m is the number of columns/rows of A and B .

Exercise 6

a) False, since W may be a much larger vector space than V . Let $V = \mathbf{R}$ and $W = \mathbf{R}^2$. Any non-zero transformation $T:V \rightarrow W$ will have a trivial Kernel but only one-dimensional image. Thus, $\{Tv_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ cannot span W and therefore $\{w_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \not\subseteq \text{Span}\{Tv_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$. The statement would be true if the spaces had the same dimension.

b) True. Since T is an isomorphism, $W = \text{Im } T$. Thus, $\text{span}\{Tv_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta} = W$. $\{Tv_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ is a set of independent vectors: for any linear combination such that $0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(Tv_{\theta_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(\alpha_i v_{\theta_i}) = T(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_{\theta_i})$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_{\theta_i} = 0$ as T is an isomorphism; and since $\{v_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ are independent, $\alpha_i = 0$ for all i . Therefore $\{Tv_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ is a basis for W (the set is linearly independent and spans W). $\{Tv_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ and $\{w_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ are thus numerically equivalent by Theorem 4 Lecture 8.

c) False, since V may be a much larger space than W . Let $V = \mathbf{R}^2$ and $W = \mathbf{R}$ and define $T(v_1) = w$ and $T(v_2) = 0$ where v_1, v_2 are the two vectors in the given basis for V and $\{w\}$ is the given basis for W . the bases $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^2$ and $\{w\}$ are not numerically equivalent; nevertheless $\{Tv_i\}_{i=1}^2$ spans \mathbf{R} .