## Econ 2042024

## Lecture 1

Outline

1. Administrative Details
2. Methods of Proof
3. Equivalence Relations
4. Cardinality

## Instructors

- Haluk Ergin
- Bruno Smaniotto, GSI
- Anna Vakarova, GSI
- Schedule: Lectures MTWThF 9am-12noon here (534 Davis). Sections: MTWThF 1-2:30pm and 2:30am-4pm, in 243 Dwinelle

Office hours:
Haluk: MTWThF 12noon-1pm here or 517 Evans, also by appt.

Anna and Bruno: TBD

- Final Exam: Wed August 14, 9am - 12noon, location: TBD
- Prerequisites: Math 1A, 1B, 53, 54 at Berkeley or equivalent.


## Problem Sets:

- 6 total
- They will be graded for your feedback only. The problem sets won't be included in your final course grade.
- Make sure you solve the assigned problem sets on time and submit them by their respective due date to receive feedback on your solutions. This is an indispensible part of preparing for the final exam.

Course Grade: Based on the final exam only

## Grading in First Year Economics Courses:

- median grade $=B+$ : solid command of material
- A and A- are very good grades, A+ for truly exceptional work
- B : ready to go on to further work...a B in 204 means you are ready to go on to 201a/b, 202a/b, 240a/b
- B- : very marginal, but we won't make you take the class again. B- in 204 means you will have a very hard time in 201a/b. Recommend you take Math 53 and 54 this year, maybe Math 104, come back next year to retake 204 and
take 201a/b. B- is a passing grade, but you must maintain a B average
- C: not passing. Definitely not ready for 201a/b, 202a/b, 240a/b. Take Math 53-54 this year, maybe Math 104, retake 204 next year
- 204 with at least a B- (or a waiver from 204 requirement) is a strictly enforced prerequisite for enrollment in 201a/b
- F: means you didn't take the final exam. Be sure to withdraw if you don't or can't take the final.


## Resources:

Book: de la Fuente, Mathematical Methods and Models for Economists

Chris Shannon's lecture notes: for every lecture + supplements for several topics

Be sure to read Corrections Handout with dIF

Seek out other references

## Goals for 204

- present some particular concepts and results used in first-year economics courses 201a/b, 202a/b, 240a/b
- develop basic math skills and knowledge needed to work as a professional economist and read academic economics
- develop ability to read, evaluate and compose proofs...essential for reading and working in all branches of economics - theoretical, empirical, experimental
- not to review Math $53+54$. If you are weak on this material, take Math 53-54 this year, and take 204 next year.


## Learning by Doing

- to learn this sort of mathematics you need to do more than just read the book and notes and listen to lectures
- active reading: work through each line, be sure you know how to get from one line to the next
- active listening: follow each step as we work through arguments in class
- working problems: the most valuable part of the class
- you can work in groups but, always try to work through all of the problems on your own before talking to others
- best test of understanding: can you explain it to others


## Methods of Proof

- Deduction
- Contraposition
- Induction
- Contradiction

We'll examine each of these in turn.

## Proof by Deduction

Proof by Deduction: A list of statements, the last of which is the statement to be proven. Each statement in the list is either

- an axiom: a fundamental assumption about mathematics, or part of definition of the object under study; or
- a previously established theorem; or
- follows from previous statements in the list by a valid rule of inference


## Proof by Deduction

Example: Prove that the function $f(x)=x^{2}$ is continuous at $x=5$.

Recall from one-variable calculus that $f(x)=x^{2}$ is continuous at $x=5$ means

$$
\forall \varepsilon>0 \exists \delta>0 \text { s.t. }|x-5|<\delta \Rightarrow|f(x)-f(5)|<\varepsilon
$$

That is, "for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ such that whenever $x$ is within $\delta$ of $5, f(x)$ is within $\varepsilon$ of $f(5)$."

To prove the claim, we must systematically verify that this definition is satisfied.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Let

$$
\delta=\min \left\{1, \frac{\varepsilon}{11}\right\}>0
$$

Where did that come from ? Suppose $|x-5|<\delta$. Since $\delta \leq 1$, $4<x<6$, so $9<x+5<11$ and $|x+5|<11$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(x)-f(5)| & =\left|x^{2}-25\right| \\
& =|(x+5)(x-5)| \\
& =|x+5||x-5| \\
& <11 \cdot \delta \\
& \leq 11 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{11} \\
& =\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have shown that for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $|x-5|<\delta \Rightarrow|f(x)-f(5)|<\varepsilon$, so $f$ is continuous at $x=5$.

## Proof by Contraposition

Recall some basics of logic.
$\neg P$ means " P is false."
$P \wedge Q$ means " $P$ is true and $Q$ is true."
$P \vee Q$ means " $P$ is true or $Q$ is true (or possibly both)."
$\neg P \wedge Q$ means $(\neg P) \wedge Q ; \neg P \vee Q$ means $(\neg P) \vee Q$.
$P \Rightarrow Q$ means "whenever $P$ is satisfied, $Q$ is also satisfied."
Formally, $P \Rightarrow Q$ is equivalent to $\neg P \vee Q$.

## Proof by Contraposition

The contrapositive of the statement $P \Rightarrow Q$ is the statement $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$.

Theorem 1. $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true if and only if $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$ is true.

Proof. Suppose $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true. Then either $P$ is false, or $Q$ is true (or possibly both). Therefore, either $\neg P$ is true, or $\neg Q$ is false (or possibly both), so $\neg(\neg Q) \vee(\neg P)$ is true, that is, $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$ is true.

Conversely, suppose $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$ is true. Then either $\neg Q$ is false, or $\neg P$ is true (or possibly both), so either $Q$ is true, or $P$ is false (or possibly both), so $\neg P \vee Q$ is true, so $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true.

## Proof by Induction

We illustrate with an example:
Theorem 2. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}=\{0,1,2,3, \ldots\}$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}
$$

i.e. $1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Proof. Base step $n=0$ : LHS $=\sum_{k=1}^{0} k=$ the empty sum $=$ 0. $\mathrm{RHS}=\frac{0.1}{2}=0$

So the claim is true for $n=0$.

Induction step: Suppose

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k=\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \text { for some } n \geq 0
$$

We must show that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k=\frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)}{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{LHS} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n} k+(n+1) \\
& =\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+(n+1) \text { by the Induction hypothesis } \\
& =(n+1)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right) \\
& =\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} \\
\mathrm{RHS} & =\frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}=\mathrm{LHS}
\end{aligned}
$$

So by mathematical induction, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$.

## Proof by Contradiction

Assume the negation of what is claimed, and work toward a contradiction.

Theorem 3. There is no rational number $q$ such that $q^{2}=2$.

Proof. Suppose $q^{2}=2$ where $q \in \mathbf{Q}$. Then we can write $q=\frac{m}{n}$ for some integers $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Moreover, we can assume that $m$ and $n$ have no common factor; if they did, we could divide it out.

$$
2=q^{2}=\frac{m^{2}}{n^{2}}
$$

Therefore, $m^{2}=2 n^{2}$, so $m^{2}$ is even.

We claim that $m$ is even. If not, then $m$ is odd, so $m=2 p+1$ for some $p \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
m^{2} & =(2 p+1)^{2} \\
& =4 p^{2}+4 p+1 \\
& =2\left(2 p^{2}+2 p\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

which is odd, contradiction. Therefore, $m$ is even, so $m=2 r$ for some $r \in \mathbf{Z}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 r^{2} & =(2 r)^{2} \\
& =m^{2} \\
& =2 n^{2} \\
n^{2} & =2 r^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $n^{2}$ is even, which implies (by the argument given above) that $n$ is even. Therefore, $n=2 s$ for some $s \in \mathbf{Z}$, so $m$ and $n$ have a
common factor, namely 2, contradiction. Therefore, there is no rational number $q$ such that $q^{2}=2$.

## Equivalence Relations

Definition 1. A binary relation $R$ from $X$ to $Y$ is a subset $R \subseteq$ $X \times Y$. We write $x R y$ if $(x, y) \in R$ and "not $x R y$ " if $(x, y) \notin R$. $R \subseteq X \times X$ is a binary relation on $X$.

Example: Suppose $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a function from $X$ to $Y$. The binary relation $R \subseteq X \times Y$ defined by

$$
x R y \Longleftrightarrow f(x)=y
$$

is exactly the graph of the function $f$. A function can be considered a binary relation $R$ from $X$ to $Y$ such that for each $x \in X$ there exists exactly one $y \in Y$ such that $(x, y) \in R$.

Example: Suppose $X=\{1,2,3\}$ and $R$ is the binary relation on $X$ given by $R=\{(1,1),(2,1),(2,2),(3,1),(3,2),(3,3)\}$. This is the binary relation "is weakly greater than," or $\geq$.

## Equivalence Relations

Definition 2. A binary relation $R$ on $X$ is
(i) reflexive if $\forall x \in X, x R x$
(ii) symmetric if $\forall x, y \in X, x R y \Leftrightarrow y R x$
(iii) transitive if $\forall x, y, z \in X,(x R y \wedge y R z) \Rightarrow x R z$

Definition 3. A binary relation $R$ on $X$ is an equivalence relation if it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

## Equivalence Relations

Definition 4. Given an equivalence relation $R$ on $X$, write

$$
[x]=\{y \in X: x R y\}
$$

$[x]$ is called the equivalence class containing $x$.
The set of equivalence classes is the quotient of $X$ with respect to $R$, denoted $X / R$.

Example: The binary relation $\geq$ on $\mathbf{R}$ is not an equivalence relation because it is not symmetric.

Example: Let $X=\{a, b, c, d\}$ and

$$
R=\{(a, a),(a, b),(b, a),(b, b),(c, c),(c, d),(d, c),(d, d)\}
$$

$R$ is an equivalence relation (why?) and the equivalence classes of $R$ are $\{a, b\}$ and $\{c, d\} . X / R=\{\{a, b\},\{c, d\}\}$

## Equivalence Relations

The equivalence classes of an equivalence relation form a partition of $X$ : every element of $X$ belongs to exactly one equivalence class.

Theorem 4. Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on $X$. Then $\forall x \in$ $X, x \in[x]$. Given $x, y \in X$, either $[x]=[y]$ or $[x] \cap[y]=\emptyset$.

Proof. If $x \in X$, then $x R x$ because $R$ is reflexive, so $x \in[x]$.
Suppose $x, y \in X$. If $[x] \cap[y]=\emptyset$, we're done. So suppose $[x] \cap[y] \neq \emptyset$. We must show that $[x]=[y]$, i.e. that the elements of $[x]$ are exactly the same as the elements of [y].

Choose $z \in[x] \cap[y]$. Then $z \in[x]$, so $x R z$. By symmetry, $z R x$. Also $z \in[y]$, so $y R z$. By symmetry again, $z R y$. Now choose $w \in[x]$. By definition, $x R w$. Since $z R x$ and $R$ is transitive, $z R w$. By symmetry, $w R z$. Since $z R y, w R y$ by transitivity again. By symmetry, $y R w$, so $w \in[y]$, which shows that $[x] \subseteq[y]$. Similarly, $[y] \subseteq[x]$, so $[x]=[y]$.

## Cardinality

Definition 5. Two sets $A, B$ are numerically equivalent (or have the same cardinality) if there is a bijection $f: A \rightarrow B$, that is, a function $f: A \rightarrow B$ that is 1-1 $\left(a \neq a^{\prime} \Rightarrow f(a) \neq f\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)$, and onto $(\forall b \in B \exists a \in A$ s.t. $f(a)=b)$.

Example: $A=\{2,4,6, \ldots, 50\}$ is numerically equivalent to the set $\{1,2, \ldots, 25\}$ under the function $f(n)=2 n$.
$B=\{1,4,9,16,25,36,49 \ldots\}=\left\{n^{2}: n \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ is numerically equivalent to N .

## Cardinality

A set is either finite or infinite. A set is finite if it is numerically equivalent to $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ for some $n$. A set that is not finite is infinite.

In particular, $A=\{2,4,6, \ldots, 50\}$ is finite, $B=\{1,4,9,16,25,36,49 \ldots\}$ is infinite.

A set is countable if it is numerically equivalent to the set of natural numbers $\mathbf{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$. An infinite set that is not countable is called uncountable.

## Cardinality

Example: The set of integers $\mathbf{Z}$ is countable.

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\{0,1,-1,2,-2, \ldots\}
$$

Define $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(1) & =0 \\
f(2) & =1 \\
f(3) & =-1 \\
& \vdots \\
f(n) & =(-1)^{n}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to $x$. It is straightforward to verify that $f$ is one-to-one and onto.

## Cardinality

Theorem 5. The set of rational numbers Q is countable. "Picture Proof":

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q} & =\left\{\frac{m}{n}: m, n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \neq 0\right\} \\
& =\left\{\frac{m}{n}: m \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in \mathbf{N}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$



Go back and forth on upward-sloping diagonals, omitting the
repeats:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(1) & =0 \\
f(2) & =1 \\
f(3) & =\frac{1}{2} \\
f(4) & =-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}, f$ is one-to-one and onto.

